

# Efficacy and safety of secukinumab in patients with psoriatic arthritis: A meta-analysis of different dosing regimens

# Kai-Lin Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Si-Yuan Hou<sup>1</sup>, Dan Wu<sup>1</sup>,\*

<sup>1</sup> China Medical University – The Queen's University of Belfast Joint College, Shenbei New District, Shenyang, Liaoning 110122, China. <sup>III</sup> Intensive Care Unit, The People's Hospital of Liaoning province, Shenhe District, Shenyang, Liaoning 110016, China. <sup>III</sup> Second Department of Rheumatology, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Tiexi District, Shenyang, Liaoning 110022, China.

Zhang KL, Hou SY, Wu D. et al. Efficacy and safety of secukinumab in patients with psoriatic arthritis: A meta-analysis of different dosing regimens. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2021;76:e2820

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: crystal0553@163.com

The appropriate dosing regimens of secukinumab for psoriatic arthritis (PsA) are not well defined. We performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy and safety of different dosing regimens of secukinumab in the treatment of PsA.

A systematic search was conducted using major electronic databases to identify relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing secukinumab 300 mg *versus* secukinumab 150 mg in patients with PsA. Metaanalysis was performed using Review Manager software (version 5.3).

Six studies with a total of 1141 patients were included. At week 24, secukinumab 300 mg was associated with a higher American College of Rheumatology 20% response (ACR 20), ACR 50, PASI 75 response rate, and dactylitis resolution rate than secukinumab 150 mg, especially in the anti-TNF-IR subgroup. At week 52, secukinumab 300 mg was associated with a higher psoriasis area and severity index (PASI) 75 and PASI 90 response rate than secukinumab 150 mg. There was no significant difference between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg in the risk of any adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs at either week 24 or week 52.

Secukinumab 300 mg was significantly more effective than 150 mg, especially for patients with PsA who have failed TNF therapy, and it was well tolerated.

KEYWORDS: Secukinumab; Psoriatic Arthritis; Meta-Analysis; Dosing Regimens.

#### INTRODUCTION

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is an immune-mediated chronic inflammatory disease characterized by peripheral arthritis, axial disease, dactylitis, enthesitis, and skin psoriasis, and is associated with impaired physical function and poor quality of life (1). Many patients manifest irreversible joint damage and disability as the disease progresses (2). Indeed, approximately half of the patients manifest bone erosion within 2 years (3). In PsA, structural damage, especially joint space narrowing, affects body function. The irreversible component of impaired body function is strongly related to the extent of joint destruction (4). To maximize the health-related quality of life of patients with PsA, preventing structural damage has become a key treatment goal (5).

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) is recognized as a treatment for PsA, which significantly improves outcomes in patients

No potential conflict of interest was reported.

Received for publication on February 5, 2021 Accepted for publication on May 21, 2021

**DOI:** 10.6061/clinics/2021/e2820

with PsA (6). Many patients experience inadequate disease control, treatment intolerance, or insufficient response over time (7). An enhanced understanding of PsA pathogenesis has contributed to the development of targeted therapies. Interleukin 17A (IL-17A) and its receptors are expressed in synovial tissues and can mediate a variety of effector functions. These functions can result in joint inflammation and tissue damage and remodeling (8). Therefore, the IL-17A pathway has been proposed to play a key role in PsA pathogenesis (9-11).

Secukinumab, a human monoclonal antibody that directly inhibits IL-17A, has shown efficacy in treating immunemediated inflammatory diseases such as psoriasis (7,12), ankylosing spondylitis (AS) (13-15), and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (16-18). In patients with PsA, secukinumab has been shown to significantly and sustainably improve long-term efficacy and inhibit radiographic progression with a consistent safety profile in several randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies (19-24). At present, 300, 150, and 75 mg are the most common doses of secukinumab used, and all doses exhibit significant efficacy (compared with placebo) for treating PsA. Secukinumab has been approved for the treatment of active PsA in Europe since 2015 (5). However, a limitation of the current study is that the appropriate dosing regimens of secukinumab for PsA are not well defined. Therefore, a meta-analysis was conducted to provide an up-to-date and comprehensive

**Copyright** © 2021 **CLINICS** – This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, provided the original work is properly cited.



# METHODS

## **Eligibility criteria**

Studies included in this meta-analysis met the following criteria: (i) they were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that enrolled patients with PsA; (ii) duration of treatment as the main limitation was 24 weeks and the secondary limitation was 52 weeks; (iii) they used a parallel design or crossover design of secukinumab 300 mg *versus* secukinumab 150 mg; and (iv) reported data regarding the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) response, psoriasis area and severity index (PASI) response, dactylitis resolution, enthesitis resolution, and adverse events (AEs).

## Search strategy

We searched all relevant studies published in PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library from the time of inception of each database until August 2020, using the following search terms: 'psoriatic arthritis' and 'secukinumab.' Additionally, the Center Watch Clinical Trials Listing Service (http://www.centerwatch.com), Current Controlled Trials Service (http://www.controlled-trials.com), and clinical trials registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (http://clinical trials.gov) were searched for details of any relevant clinical trials in progress.

## Data extraction

Study selection was performed by two independent investigators. They reviewed the full papers to confirm that all trials met the eligibility criteria. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion or by consensus with a third author. When there were multiple studies from the same trial, the reported data that met our evaluation indicators and observation times were eligible.

# Methodological quality

The methodological quality of the included articles was further assessed using modified Jadad criteria with an 8-item scale (randomization, method of randomization, blinding, method of blinding, withdrawals and dropouts, inclusion and exclusion criteria, adverse effects, and statistical analysis) by two independent reviewers (25). Scores ranged from 0 to 8 (a high score indicating high quality), with a score of  $\ge$ 4 indicating high quality.

#### Meta-analysis

Efficacy analysis was based on the proportion of patients with ACR20, ACR50, ACR70, PASI 75, and PASI 90 responses. Additionally, the resolution of enthesitis and dactylitis in the population from baseline was analyzed to assess efficacy. Safety was evaluated by reviewing AEs, including any AEs, serious AEs (SAEs), and Candida infections.

In order to assess the potential confounding effects of heterogeneity, we divided patients who were TNF inhibitor naive (anti-TNF-naive) and those who exhibited inadequate response to TNF inhibitors (anti-TNF-IR) before enrollment into different subgroups.

Statistical analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.3 (The Nordic Cochrane Center, Copenhagen, Denmark) from the Cochrane Collaboration. All analysis indicators,

which were categorical dichotomous variables, were assessed using odds ratios (ORs). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05, and a 95% confidence interval (CI) was reported. Homogeneity was detected using I<sup>2</sup> statistics. If the I<sup>2</sup> statistic was significant (I<sup>2</sup> > 50%), a random-effects model was employed; otherwise, a fixed-effects model was used.

# RESULTS

#### Literature search and study characteristics

We identified 387 relevant articles from various electronic databases up to August 31, 2020. After removing duplicates, 296 studies were retrieved. After reviewing the titles and abstracts, 219 articles were excluded, and 77 articles were assessed for eligibility. However, 70 of these were excluded for various reasons, such as not being an RCT, no required data, or no use of secukinumab 300 mg and 150 mg doses. Finally, six studies (19-24) including three RCTs met the eligibility criteria in the final analysis (Figure 1). All three pivotal trials (FUTURE 2, FUTURE 3, and FUTURE 5) were multicenter, double-blind, and placebo-controlled trials with two different secukinumab doses (300 mg and 150 mg). Five articles (19-23) reported the efficacy and safety of secukinumab 300 mg versus secukinumab 150 mg during the induction treatment period (24 weeks). Four articles (20-22,24) reported the efficacy and safety of secukinumab 300 mg versus secukinumab 150 mg during the maintenance treatment period ( $\geq$  52 weeks). The pooled analysis included 1141 patients with PsA (461 in the secukinumab 300 mg group and 680 in the secukinumab 150 mg group). All included studies were allocated high-quality scores (modified Jadad score=8). The main study characteristics are presented in Table 1.

# Efficacy

**ACR20 response**. Three RCTs with 1040 patients reported the proportion of patients meeting the ACR20 improvement criteria at week 24. The secukinumab 300 mg group was associated with a higher ACR 20 response rate than the secukinumab 150 mg group (OR=1.41, 95% CI=1.09–1.83, p=0.010). Subgroup analysis revealed that the secukinumab 300 mg group was associated with higher ACR20 responders than the secukinumab 150 mg group in the anti-TNF-IR subgroup at week 24 (OR=1.75, 95% CI=1.13–2.71, p=0.01). However, in the anti-TNF-naive subgroup, there was no significant difference between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg with respect to achieving an ACR20 response at week 24 (OR=1.26, 95% CI=0.91–1.74, p=0.17) (Figure 2a).

Three RCTs with 1141 patients evaluated the ACR20 response rate at week 52. There was no significant difference between the secukinumab 300 mg group and secukinumab 150 mg group with respect to achieving ACR20 response (OR=1.26, 95% CI=0.97–1.62, p=0.08) at week 52. However, there were different results in the subgroup analysis. The secukinumab 300 mg group was associated with higher ACR20 responders than the secukinumab 150 mg group in the anti-TNF-IR subgroup at week 52 (OR=1.66, 95% CI=1.07–2.58, p=0.01). In the anti-TNF-naive subgroup, there was no significant difference between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg with respect to achieving an ACR20 response at week 52 (OR=1.08, 95% CI=0.79–1.49, p=0.17) (Figure 3a).





Figure 1 - Flow diagram depicting the study selection process.

**ACR 50 response**. RCTs with 1040 patients reported the proportion of patients meeting the ACR 50 improvement criteria at week 24. The secukinumab 300 mg group was associated with a higher ACR 50 response rate than the secukinumab 150 mg group (OR=1.34, 95% CI=1.02–1.75, p=0.03). The proportion of ACR 50 responders was statistically higher in the secukinumab 300 mg group than that in the secukinumab 150 mg group, especially in the anti-TNF-IR subgroup (OR=1.85, 95% CI=1.11–3.08, p=0.02). However, in the anti-TNF-naive subgroup, there was no significant difference between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg with respect to achieving an ACR 50 response (OR=1.18, 95% CI=0.86–1.62, p=0.30) (Figure 2b).

Three RCTs with 1141 patients evaluated the ACR50 response rate at week 52. There was no significant difference between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg with respect to achieving an ACR 50 response, for both the anti-TNF-naive (OR=1.21, 95% CI=0.91–1.63, p=0.20) or anti-TNF-IR subgroup (OR=1.34, 95% CI=0.82–2.18, p=0.24) (Figure 3b).

**ACR 70 response**. Two RCTs with 864 patients reported the proportion of patients meeting the ACR 70 improvement criteria at weeks 24 and 52, respectively. There was no significant difference between the secukinumab 300 mg group

Dose and dosing schedule	No. of patients	Age (years)	Female, n (%)	Duration of psoriasis (years )	Weight (kg)	Treatment history of included patient	Modified Jadad Score	Study	Journal
SEC 300 mg: SEC 300 mg SC once a week from baseline to week 4 and then every 4 weeks	100	<b>46.9</b> ±12.6	49 (49)	No data	85.4±18.4	Corticosteroids ( $\leq 10 \text{ mg/}$ day PDN or equivalent) at a stable dose for $\geq 2$ weeks: MTX $\leq 25 \text{ mg/}$	œ	McInnes et al. (19)	Lancet
SEC 150 mg: SEC 150 mg SC once a week from baseline to week 4 and then every 4 weeks	100	<b>46.5</b> ± 11.7	45 (45)	No data	<b>91.2</b> ±19.8	week at a stable dose for ≥4 weeks; Anti- TNF-IR.		Kavanaugh et al. (20) McInnes et al. (21)	J Rheumatol Rheumatology
SEC 300 mg: SEC 300 mg SC once a week from baseline to week 4 and then every 4 weeks	139	<b>49.3</b> ±12.9	72 (51.8)	8.3±9.2	<b>87.1</b> ± 19.4	Corticosteroids (≤10 mg/ day PDN or equivalent) at a stable dose for ≥2 weeks, MTX ≤25 mg/	ω	Nash et al. (22)	Arthritis Research & Therapy
SEC 150 mg: SEC 150 mg SC once a week from baseline to week 4 and then every 4 weeks	138	50.1 ± 11.7	77 (55.8)	7.7±8.5	<b>87.1 ± 20.0</b>	week at a stable dose for ≥4 weeks; Anti- TNF-IR.			
SEC 300 mg with LD: SEC 300 mg SC once a week from baseline to week 3 and then every 4 weeks	222	<b>48.9</b> ±12.8	114 (51.4)	<b>6.7 ± 8.3</b>	<b>81.9</b> ±16.9	Corticosteroids(≤10 mg/ day PDN or equivalent), NSAIDs and MTX (≤25 mg/week) at a stable	∞	Mease et al. (23)	Ann Rheum Dis
 SEC 150 mg with LD: SEC 150 mg SC once a week from baseline to week 3 and then every 4 weeks	220	<b>48.4</b> ± 12.9	109 (49.5)	6.7±7.1	<b>83.3</b> ±19.6	dose for the first 24 weeks of the study; Anti-TNF-IR.		van der Heijde et al. (24)	Rheumatology
 SEC 150 mg without LD: SEC 150 mg SC once a week from week 1 to week 3 and then every 4 weeks	222	<b>48.8</b> ±11.8	102 (45.9)	<b>6.2 ± 6.1</b>	<b>84.1</b> ± 20.5				

1

Table 1 - Basic characteristics and risk bias of the included studies.



1.

a ACR20 response	D ACR50 resp	onse	
SEC 300mg         SEC 150mg         Odds           Study or Subproup         Events         Total         Events         Total         Weight         M-H.Fix           1.1.1 anti-TNF-naive         McInes et al. (21)         39         67         40         6.3         17.9%         0.80 (14)           Mease et al. (23)         105         154         127         33         40.8%         1.44 (14)           Nash et al. (22)         23         44         20         44         9.9%         1.31 (15)           Subtotal (9%)         C)         255         420         68.7%         1.26 (17)           Total events         167         247         Heterogeneity: Ch* = 2.0g, dt = 2.(P = 0.36); P = 1%;         Test for overall effect: Z = 1.39 (P = 0.17)	Ratio         Odds Ratio           Red: 95% CI         M-H, Fixed. 95% CI         1.2.1 antl-TNF-nalw           (0.40, 16.2]         McInnes et al. (21)         McInnes et al. (21)           (0.57, 3.04]         Subtrover tal. (23)         Nash et al. (22)           0.91, 1.74]         Total events         Heterogenety: Ch <sup>2</sup>	SEC 300mg         SEC 150mg         Odds Ratio         Odds Ratio           Events         Total         Weight         M-H, Fixed, 95%, CI         M-H, Fixed, 95%, CI           0         26         67         28         63         19.2%         0.79 [0.39, 1.59]           73         154         134         315 505%         1.20 [0.82, 1.77]         18         44         11         44         7.1%         2.08 [0.84, 5.16]         2.27, 47         1.18 [0.96, 1.82]         1.27, 47         2.74, 47         2.76, 25 (F)         2.7%         1.18 [0.96, 1.82]         1.27, 47         2.74, 47         2.02 (F)         2.7%         1.27, 47         2.02 (F)         2.7%         1.28 (0.96, 1.82)         1.27, 47         1.26 (F)         2.7%         1.27, 47         2.02 (F)         2.7%         1.28 (0.96, 1.82)         1.27, 47         1.26 (F)         2.7%         1.28 (0.96, 1.82)         1.27, 47         1.26 (F)         2.7%         1.27, 47         1.26 (F)         2.7%         1.27, 47         1.26 (F)         2.7%	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.74, 5.26]         1.2.2 anti-TNF-IR           0.05, 3.44]         Mckines et al. (21)           0.56, 3.16]         Nash et al. (22)           1.13, 2.71]         Subtotal (95% CI)           Heterogenely: Chi         Heterogenely: Chi           7         Test for overall effect	9 33 7 37 5.2% 1.61 [0.52, 4.95] 24 68 32 129 15.5% 1.65 [0.87, 3.13] 9 44 3 44 2.26% 3.51 (0.86, 14.00] 145 2210 23.3% 1.85 [1.11, 3.08] 42 42 1.01, 147 2.0 = 0.60); F = 0% t. z = 2.86 (F = 0.06); F = 0%	<b>,</b>
	1.09, 1.83]	410 630 100.0% 1.34 [1.02, 1.75] = 5.74, df = 5 (P = 0.33); P = 13% t Z = 2.12 (P = 0.03) t Z = 2.12 (P = 0.03) SEC 150mg SEC 300mg SEC 150mg SEC 300mg	5 10
C ACR70 response	PASI /5 resp	SEC 300mg SEC 150mg Odds Batio Odds Batio	
SEC 300mg SEC 150mg Odds	s Ratio Odds Ratio <u>Study or Subgroup</u>	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Study or Subgroup         Events         Total         Events         Total         Weight         M-H, Fip           1.3.1 anti-Ther-naive         McInnes et al. (21)         15         67         17         63         21.5%         0.78 (1)           McInnes et al. (21)         15         67         17         63         21.5%         0.78 (1)           Subtrotal (95% CI)         221         336         66.7%         1.07 (1)         307         78.2%         1.06 (1)	Xed. 35% Cl Kavanaugh et al. (20 [0.35, 1.74] Messe et al. (23) [0.75, 1.82] Nash et al. (22) [0.72, 1.57] Kavanaugh et al. (22)	1)         26         41         28         58         10.9%         1.86 (0.82, 4.21)           156         222         261         442         66.8%         1.64 [1.16, 2.31]           29         62         34         68         22.2%         0.88 [0.44, 1.75]	
Total events 56 91 Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.76, df = 1 (P = 0.38); i <sup>2</sup> = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.31 (P = 0.75) 1.3.2 anti-TNF-IR	Total (95% 50) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> Test for overall effec	211 323 = 2.83, df = 2 (P = 0.24); P = 29% L Z = 2.74 (P = 0.006) 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 SEC 150mg SEC 300mg	+
Micines et al. (21)         5         33         4         37         5.1%         1.47 [           Mease et al. (23)         16         68         20         129         16.7%         1.68 [           Subtotal (95% CI)         101         166         21.8%         1.63 [           Total events         21         24	[0.36, 6.02] [0.80, 3.50] [0.85, 3.13] Study or Suboroun Study or Suboroun	2013SC SEC 300mg SEC 150mg Odds Ratio Odds Ratio Events Total Events Total Weight M-H Random 95% CI M-H Random 95% CI	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.03, df = 1 (P = 0.87); $I^2$ = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.47 (P = 0.14)	Kavanaugh et al. (20 Mease et al. (23)	) 20 41 19 58 25.4% 1.95 [0.86, 4.45] 119 222 151 442 45.7% 2.23 [1.60, 3.09]	
Total (95% CI) 322 542 100.0% 1.19 [ Total events 77 115	[0.85, 1.66] Nash et al. (22)	21 62 25 68 28.9% 0.88 [0.43, 1.81]	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.00$ , $df = 3$ (P = 0.57); $l^2 = 0\%$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.01$ (P = 0.31) Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 1.21$ , $df = 1$ (P = 0.27), $l^2 = 17.4\%$	Image: https://www.communication.co	325 568 100.0% 1.65 [0.93, 2.91] 160 195 = 0.16, ChiP = 5.27, df = 2 (P = 0.07); P = 62% ∴ Z = 17.2 (P = 0.09) 25C 100m 55C 200m 55C 2	5 10
f Dactylitis resolution	${f g}$ Enthesitis re	solution	
SEC 300mg SEC 150mg Odds	s Ratio Odds Ratio	SEC 300mg SEC 150mg Odds Ratio Odds Ratio Description Sec 150mg Odds Ratio Odds Ratio	
studg or suggroup         twints         total         Weight         MH, Fi           Kavanaugh et al. (20)         26         46         16         32         11.0%         1.30           Mease et al. (23)         146         222         253         442         77.9%         1.44           Nash et al. (22)         22         46         14         36         11.0%         1.44	Image: State	Constra         Constra <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Total (95% Cl)         314         510         100.0%         1.42           Total events         194         283         194 <td>[1.96, 1.91] 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 SEC 150mg SEC 300mg Test (or overall effe</td> <td>368         601         100.0%         1.29 (0.99, 1.68)           168         275        </td> <td>5 10</td>	[1.96, 1.91] 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 SEC 150mg SEC 300mg Test (or overall effe	368         601         100.0%         1.29 (0.99, 1.68)           168         275	5 10

Figure 2 - Forest plot of the efficacy between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg for psoriatic arthritis at week 24.

and the secukinumab 150 mg group with respect to achieving an ACR 70 response for both the anti-TNF-naive (OR=1.06, 95% CI=0.72–1.57, p=0.75) and anti-TNF-IR subgroup (OR=1.63, 95% CI=0.85–3.13, p=0.14) at week 24 (Figure 2c). There was also no significant difference with respect to achieving an ACR 70 response at week 52 for both the anti-TNF-naive (OR=1.23, 95% CI=0.85–1.78, p=0.27) and anti-TNF-IR subgroup (OR=1.17, 95% CI= 0.61–2.23, p=0.64) (Figure 3c).

**PASI 75 response**. Three RCTs with 893 patients reported the proportion of patients meeting the PASI 75 improvement criteria at week 24. Secukinumab 300 mg was associated with a higher PASI 75 response rate than secukinumab 150 mg (OR=1.49, 95% CI=1.12–1.99, *p*=0.006) (Figure 2d).

Three RCTs with 464 patients evaluated the PASI 75 response rate at week 52. Secukinumab 300 mg was also associated with a higher PASI 75 response rate than secukinumab 150 mg (OR=1.83, 95% CI=1.23–2.73, p=0.003) (Figure 3d).

**PASI 90 response**. Three RCTs with 893 patients reported the proportion of patients with PASI 90 response at weeks 24 and 52, respectively. There was no significant difference

between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg with respect to achieving a PASI 90 response at week 24 (OR=1.65, 95% CI=0.93–2.91, p=0.09), with slight heterogeneity between studies (I<sup>2</sup>= 62%) (Figure 2e). However, at week 52, secukinumab 300 mg was associated with a higher PASI 90 response rate than secukinumab 150 mg (OR=1.60, 95% CI=1.11–2.31, p=0.01), with no significant heterogeneity between studies (I<sup>2</sup>=0%) (Figure 3e).

#### Dactylitis resolution and Enthesitis resolution

Three RCTs with 824 patients reported the resolution of dactylitis and enthesitis from baseline to 24 weeks. Secukinumab 300 mg was associated with a higher dactylitis resolution rate than secukinumab 150 mg (OR=1.42, 95% CI=1.06–1.91, p=0.02) (Figure 2f). However, there was no significant difference between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg with respect to enthesitis resolution (OR=1.29, 95% CI, 0.99–1.68, p=0.06) (Figure 2g).

At week 52, we also assessed the resolution of dactylitis and enthesitis from baseline. There was no significant difference between secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg, regardless of dactylitis resolution (OR=1.07, 95% CI=0.67–1.71, p=0.78) (Figure 3f) or enthesitis resolution (OR=1.34, 95% CI=0.96–1.88, p=0.09) (Figure 3g).



a ACR20 response	se						D ACR50 response
Study or Subaroup	SEC 300mg Events Tota	SEC 150	Omg Total V	Neiaht	Odds Ratio M-H. Fixed. 95% CI	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	SEC 300 mg SEC 300 mg Odds Ratio Odds Ratio Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl
3.1.1 anti-TNF-naive						_	3.2.1 anti-TNF-naive
McInnes et al. (21) Nash et al. (22)	46 6	7 50 5 51	63 94	15.4%	0.57 [0.26, 1.27]		McInnes et al. (21) 35 67 31 63 14.1% 1.13 [0.57, 2.25] Nash et al. (22) 36 94 30 95 17.0% 1.34 [0.74, 2.45]
van der Heijde et al. (24)	112 15	4 225	313	38.5%	1.04 [0.68, 1.61]	-	van der Heijde et al. (24) 82 154 153 313 43.5% 1.19 [0.81, 1.75]
Subtotal (95% CI)	31	6	470	70.3%	1.08 [0.79, 1.49]	<b>•</b>	Subtotal (95% CI) 315 471 74.6% 1.21 [0.91, 1.63]
Heteropeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 4.54.	221 df = 2 (P = 0.10	326 )): l <sup>2</sup> = 56%					Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.16, df = 2 (P = 0.92); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	0.50 (P = 0.62)	<i>n</i>					Test for overall effect: Z = 1.30 (P = 0.20)
3.1.2 anti-TNF-IR							3.2.2 anti-TNF-IR
McInnes et al. (21)	18 3	3 14	37	5.7%	1.97 [0.76, 5.12]		McInnes et al. (21) 9 33 8 37 5.1% 1.36 [0.45, 4.06]
Nash et al. (22)	18 4	4 14	44	7.9%	1.48 [0.62, 3.55]		van der Heijde et al. (24) 22 68 34 129 14.6% 1.34 [0.70, 2.54]
Subtotal (95% CI)	41 0	5 02	210	29.7%	1.66 [1.07, 2.58]	-	Subtotal (95% CI) 145 210 25.4% 1.34 [0.82, 2.18]
Total events	77	90					Total events 41 50 Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, df = 2 (P = 1.00); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.19, Test for overall effect: Z = 2	df = 2 (P = 0.91 2.28 (P = 0.02)	l); l* = 0%					Test for overall effect: Z = 1.17 (P = 0.24)
Total (05% CI)	46		690 4	00.0%	1 26 10 07 1 621		Total (95% Cl) 460 681 100.0% 1.25 [0.97, 1.60]
Total events	298	416	000	100.076	1.20 [0.37, 1.02]		Total events 194 264
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7.11,	df = 5 (P = 0.21	); I² = 30%				01 02 05 1 2 5 10	Heterogeneity: Chi = 0.28, df = 5 (P = 1.00); P = 0.% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.71 (P = 0.09) 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
Test for overall effect: Z = 1 Test for subgroup difference	1.74 (P = 0.08) es: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.42	df = 1 (P = (	12) I <sup>2</sup> =	58.6%		SEC 150mg SEC 300mg	Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.11, df = 1 (P = 0.74), l <sup>2</sup> = 0%
							4
C							Q PASI 75 response
<ul> <li>ACR70 respons</li> </ul>	se						SEC 300mg SEC 150mg Odds Ratio Odds Ratio
	SEC 300mg	SEC 30	Omg		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl
3 3 1 anti-TNE-naive	Events Tota	al Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H. Fixed, 95% CI	Kavanaugh et al. (20) 30 41 33 58 20.2% 2.07 [0.87, 4.90]
McInnes et al. (21)	18 6	7 15	63	16.8%	1.18 [0.53, 2.60]	<b>.</b>	Nash et al. (22) 46 62 41 68 27.5% 1.69 [0.90, 4.00]
van der Heijde et al. (24)	51 15	4 89	313	58.4%	1.25 [0.82, 1.89]		vali del mejde et al. (24) 02 110 79 123 51.5% 1.71 [0.37, 2.39]
Subtotal (95% CI)	22	1 104	376	75.2%	1.23 [0.85, 1.78]		Total (95% CI) 213 251 100.0% 1.83 [1.23, 2.73]
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.02.	df = 1 (P = 0.90	(); $ ^2 = 0\%$					Total events 158 153
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	1.10 (P = 0.27)						Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, df = 2 (P = 0.93); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%
3 3 2 anti-TNE-ID							Test for overall effect: Z = 2.97 (P = 0.003) SEC 150mg SEC 300mg
McInnes et al. (21)	6 3	3 5	37	5.7%	1.42 [0.39, 5.18]		e PASI 90 response
van der Heijde et al. (24)	13 6	8 23	129	19.1%	1.09 [0.51, 2.32]		SEC 300mg SEC 150mg Odds Ratio Odds Ratio
Subtotal (95% CI)	10	1 20	166	24.8%	1.17 [0.61, 2.23]		Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.12.	df = 1 (P = 0.73	3); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%					Kavanaugh et al. (20) 23 41 25 58 20.3% 1.69 [0.75, 3.78]
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	0.46 (P = 0.64)						Nash et al. (22) 34 62 28 68 27.0% 1.73 [0.87, 3.48]
Total (95% CI)	32	2	542	100.0%	1 21 [0 22 1 67]	•	van der Heijde et al. (24) 63 110 59 125 52.7% 1.50 [0.90, 2.51]
Total events	88	132	342	100.078	1.21 [0.00, 1.07]	-	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.16,	df = 3 (P = 0.98	3); I² = 0%					Total (95% CI) 215 251 100.0% 1.00 [1.11, 2.51]
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	1.19 (P = 0.23)					anti-TNF-IR anti-TNF-naive	Total events 120 12 Hotosoonahir Chi2 - 0.12 df = 2 /B = 0.04/r i2 - 04/
rest for subgroup difference	es: Chi" = 0.02,	df = 1 (P = 1	0.69), 1* =	- 076			Test for overall effect: Z = 2.50 (P = 0.01) 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
T Dactulitie recol	ution						O SEC 150mg SEC 300mg
Dactynus resor	SEC 300mg	SEC 15	Oma		Odds Ratio	Odds Batio	Entities resolution SEC 200mg SEC 150mg Odde Paties Odde Paties
Study or Subgroup	Events Tot	al Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Fixed, 95% CI	M-H. Fixed, 95% CI	Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl
Kavanaugh et al. (20)	32 4	46 21	32	22.3%	1.20 [0.46, 3.14]		Kavanaugh et al. (20) 30 56 31 64 22.7% 1.23 [0.60, 2.52]
Nash et al. (22)	28 4	46 19	36	24.7%	1.39 [0.58, 3.36]		Nash et al. (22) 47 88 44 95 33.3% 1.33 [0.74, 2.38]
van der Heijde et al. (24)	5/ 8	oz 08	aU	33.0%	0.00 [0.44, 1.71]	-	
Total (95% CI)	17	4	148	100.0%	1.07 [0.67, 1.71]	+	Total (95% Ci) 284 300 100.0% 1.34 [0.96, 1.88]
Total events	117	98					Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.10, df = 2 (P = 0.95); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.28 (P = 0.78)	07.1-=0%				0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	Test for overall effect: Z = 1.72 (P = 0.09) SEC 150mg SEC 300mg
						SEC 150mg SEC 300mg	

Figure 3 - Forest plot depicting the efficacy of secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg in the treatment of patients with psoriatic arthritis at week 52.

#### Safety

We assessed the safety of the secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg groups at weeks 24 and 52, respectively. There was no significant difference between these groups with respect to the risk of any AEs (OR=0.98, 95% CI=0.77–1.25, p=0.88) (Figure 4a) and SAEs (OR=0.00, 95% CI=-0.02–0.02, p=0.82) at week 24 (Figure 4b). The same results were observed at week 52; we found that the secukinumab 300 mg group also was not at an increased risk of any AEs (OR=0.92, 95% CI=0.73–1.17, p=0.50) (Figure 5a) or SAEs (OR=1.01, 95% CI=0.72–1.42, p=0.74) (Figure 5b).

In addition, attention should be paid to the risk of developing Candida infections. There was no significant difference between the secukinumab 300 mg group and secukinumab 150 mg group with respect to the risk of developing Candida infections, whether at week 24 (OR=0.95, 95% CI=0.47–1.95, p=0.90) (Figure 4c) or at week 52 (OR=1.09, 95% CI=0.61–1.94, p=0.77) (Figure 5c).

## DISCUSSION

Our meta-analysis revealed that secukinumab 300 mg was more effective than secukinumab 150 mg at treating PsA patients without increasing the risk of developing any AEs or SAEs. At week 24, a significantly greater percentage of patients receiving secukinumab 300 mg (compared with those receiving secukinumab 150 mg) achieved ACR 20, ACR 50, PASI 75, dactylitis, and enthesitis resolution. At week 52, secukinumab 300 mg was also associated with a higher PASI 75 response rate and PASI 90 response rate than secukinumab 150 mg.

Subgroup analysis showed that secukinumab 300 mg had better efficacy than secukinumab 150 mg, especially in the anti-TNF-IR subgroup. At week 24, the advantages of secukinumab 300 mg (compared with secukinumab 150 mg) with respect to achieving ACR 20 and ACR 50 response were mainly concentrated in the anti-TNF-IR subgroup. At week 52, secukinumab 300 mg was also more effective than secukinumab 150 mg at achieving an ACR 20 response in the anti-TNF-IR subgroup.

Our meta-analysis suggests that secukinumab 300 mg is more beneficial to patients with PsA than secukinumab 150 mg in the short term without compromising safety, especially in PsA patients with anti-TNF-IR. Secukinumab 300 mg is also a good choice for long-term maintenance therapy in patients with PsA who have failed TNF inhibitors.

The number of circulating T helper-type 17 (Th17) cells increased significantly in RA patients after anti-TNF $\alpha$ 

# **a** Any adverse events

	SEC 30	SEC 300mg SEC 150mg				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
McInnes et al. (19)	56	100	57	100	19.4%	0.96 [0.55, 1.68]	
Mease et al. (23)	140	222	274	442	52.4%	1.05 [0.75, 1.46]	
Nash et al. (22)	76	139	80	138	28.2%	0.87 [0.54, 1.41]	
Total (95% CI)		461		680	100.0%	0.98 [0.77, 1.25]	<b>•</b>
Total events	272		411				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0	).38, df = 2	P = 0	.83); l² = 0	)%			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.15 (F	P = 0.88	5)		SEC 300mg SEC 150mg		
1							Second Second

# **b** Serious adverse events

	SEC 30	Omg	ng SEC 150mg Risk Difference		Risk Difference	Risk Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
McInnes et al. (19)	5	100	1	100	18.7%	0.04 [-0.01, 0.09]	-
Mease et al. (23)	7	222	15	442	55.3%	-0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]	<b>#</b>
Nash et al. (22)	3	139	5	138	25.9%	-0.01 [-0.05, 0.02]	•
Total (95% CI)		461		680	100.0%	0.00 [-0.02, 0.02]	•
Total events	15		21				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3	3.29, df = 2	2(P = 0)	.19); l <sup>2</sup> = 3	39%		F	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.22 (F	P = 0.82	2)			-	SEC 300mg SEC 150mg
0							OLO Storing OLO ISoning
C Candida inf	ections						
	<b>SEC 30</b>	0mg	SEC 15	0mg		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
McInnes et al. (19)	5	100	7	100	43.2%	0.70 [0.21, 2.28]	
Mease et al. (23)	4	222	3	442	12.8%	2.69 [0.60, 12.10]	
Nash et al. (22)	5	139	7	138	44.0%	0.70 [0.22, 2.26]	
Total (95% CI)		461		680	100.0%	0.95 [0.47, 1.95]	
Total events	14		17				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2	2.35, df = 2	2 (P = 0	.31); I <sup>2</sup> = 1	15%		H	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.13 (F	P = 0.90	))			l.	SEC 300mg SEC 150mg

Figure 4 - Forest plot depicting the safety of secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg in the treatment of patients with psoriatic arthritis at week 24.

therapy, suggesting that a Th17-targeted therapeutic approach may be beneficial for patients with anti-TNF-IR (26). This is also consistent with our results, in that a larger dose of secukinumab is required in patients with anti-TNF-IR.

IL-17A plays an important role in host defense against microorganisms and in the development of chronic inflammation (27,28). It has been reported that the rate of Candida infections with secukinumab treatment was higher than that with placebo (19,23,29). This is mostly related to the role of IL-17 in the mucocutaneous defense against Candida infections (30). All cases of Candida infection were resolved with standard oral treatment, and the patients continued to participate in this research. Safety analysis showed that secukinumab 300 mg did not increase the incidence of any AEs, SAEs, or Candida infections (compared to secukinumab 150 mg).

Comparison of the efficacy of secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg in PsA patients revealed that secukinumab 300 mg exhibits additional benefits at week 16 (31). However, secukinumab is not known to be effective in anti-TNF-naive and anti-TNF-IR subgroups; the same was observed in the safety analysis data for the long-term treatment of PsA patients. Our meta-analysis was able to compensate for the above deficiencies by not only comparing the efficacy in both anti-TNF-naive and anti-TNF-IR subgroups, but also for induction remission (24 weeks) and maintenance treatment (52 weeks). We defined  $\leq$ 24 weeks as induction therapy and extended the observation endpoint to 52 weeks as maintenance therapy. We evaluated the difference in the efficacy and safety of secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg in different periods and subgroups. To the best of our knowledge, this has not been reported in a previous metaanalysis, and in addition, this is of great innovation in this field of research. Our study provides a basis for the selection of different doses of secukinumab in different groups of PsA patients during induction therapy and maintenance therapy.

However, our study has certain limitations. There were few data included in related studies, and no related indicators (such as PASI 75 response and PASI 90 response) for subgroup analysis. Secukinumab was administered at various regimens, with or without a loading dose. Further, the number of RCTs was limited. To acquire more accurate results, more high-quality, large-scale, long-term clinical trials are needed.



# **a** Any adverse events

	SEC 300mg SEC		SEC 15	0mg	Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
McInnes et al. (21)	127	145	126	143	10.7%	0.95 [0.47, 1.93]	
Nash et al. (22)	164	204	156	202	20.8%	1.21 [0.75, 1.95]	
van der Heijde et al. (24)	259	371	436	593	68.5%	0.83 [0.62, 1.11]	
Total (95% CI)		720		938	100.0%	0.92 [0.73, 1.17]	-
Total events	550		718				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.73,	df = 2 (P	= 0.42);	l² = 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	0.67 (P = 0	.50)					U.1 U.2 U.5 1 2 5 10 SEC 300mg SEC 150mg

# **b** Serious adverse events

	<b>SEC 30</b>	0mg	SEC 15	0mg		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		
McInnes et al. (21)	19	145	15	143	19.6%	1.29 [0.63, 2.64]				
Nash et al. (22)	19	204	21	202	28.6%	0.89 [0.46, 1.70]				
van der Heijde et al. (24)	30	371	49	593	51.8%	0.98 [0.61, 1.57]				
Total (95% CI)		720		938	100.0%	1.01 [0.72, 1.42]		+		
Total events	68		85							
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.61,	df = 2 (P	= 0.74);	l² = 0%						<u>+</u>	
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	0.07 (P = 0	.95)					0.1 0.2 S	0.5         1         2           Sec 300mg         Sec 150mg	5	10
2										



Figure 5 - Forest plot depicting the safety of secukinumab 300 mg and secukinumab 150 mg in the treatment of patients with psoriatic arthritis at week 52.

# CONCLUSION

In summary, secukinumab 300 mg was significantly more effective and well tolerated in both short-term induced remission and long-term maintenance therapy than secukinumab 150 mg, especially for PsA patients for whom TNF therapy has failed.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank all the researchers of the included studies who provided additional data.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Zhang KL and Wu D conceived and designed this study. Zhang KL and Wu D analyzed and interpreted the data. Zhang KL, Hou SY and Wu D contributed to data acquisition. All authors helped drafting the manuscript and its revisions for critically important intellectual content and approved the final manuscript version to be published.

#### REFERENCES

1. Raychaudhuri SK, Saxena A, Raychaudhuri SP. Role of IL-17 in the pathogenesis of psoriatic arthritis and axial spondyloarthritis.

Clin Rheumatol. 2015;34(6):1019-23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-015-2961-7

- Gladman DD, Antoni C, Mease P, Clegg DO, Nash P. Psoriatic arthritis: epidemiology, clinical features, course, and outcome. Ann Rheum Dis. 2005;64 Suppl 2 (Suppl 2):ii14-7. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2004.03 2482
- Kane D, Stafford L, Bresnihan B, FitzGerald O. A prospective, clinical and radiological study of early psoriatic arthritis: an early synovitis clinic experience. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2003;42(12):1460-8. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/rheumatology/keg384
- Kerschbaumer A, Baker D, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. The effects of structural damage on functional disability in psoriatic arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(12):2038-45. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-211433
- Gossec L, Smolen JS, Ramiro S, de Wit M, Cutolo M, Dougados M, et al. European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies: 2015 update. Ann Rheum Dis. 2016;75(3):499-510. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-208337
- Mease PJ, Fleischmann R, Deodhar AA, Wollenhaupt J, Khraishi M, Kielar D, et al. Effect of certolizumab pegol on signs and symptoms in patients with psoriatic arthritis: 24-week results of a Phase 3 double-blind randomised placebo-controlled study (RAPID-PsA). Ann Rheum Dis. 2014;73(1):48-55. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203696
- Langley RG, Elewski BE, Lebwohl M, Reich K, Griffiths CE, Papp K, et al. Secukinumab in plaque psoriasis--results of two phase 3 trials. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(4):326-38. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1314258
- Fitzgerald O, Winchester R. Editorial: emerging evidence for critical involvement of the interleukin-17 pathway in both psoriasis and psoriatic

arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2014;66(5):1077-80. https://doi.org/10.10<br/> 02/art.38370

- Raychaudhuri SP, Raychaudhuri SK, Genovese MC. IL-17 receptor and its functional significance in psoriatic arthritis. Mol Cell Biochem. 2012;359 (1-2):419-29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-011-1036-6
- Menon B, Gullick NJ, Walter GJ, Rajasekhar M, Garrood T, Evans HG, et al. Interleukin-17+CD8+ T cells are enriched in the joints of patients with psoriatic arthritis and correlate with disease activity and joint damage progression. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2014;66(5):1272-81. https:// doi.org/10.1002/art.38376
- Kirkham BW, Kavanaugh A, Reich K. Interleukin-17A: a unique pathway in immune-mediated diseases: psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Immunology. 2014;141(2):133-42. https://doi.org/10.1111/ imm.12142
- Thaçi D, Blauvelt A, Reich K, Tsai TF, Vanaclocha F, Kingo K, et al. Secukinumab is superior to ustekinumab in clearing skin of subjects with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis: CLEAR, a randomized controlled trial. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2015;73(3):400-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jaad.2015.05.013
- Baeten D, Sieper J, Braun J, Baraliakos X, Dougados M, Emery P, et al. Secukinumab, an Interleukin-17A Inhibitor, in Ankylosing Spondylitis. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(26):2534-48. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa15 05066
- Deodhar AA, Dougados M, Baeten DL, Cheng-Chung Wei J, Geusens P, Readie A, et al. Effect of Secukinumab on Patient-Reported Outcomes in Patients With Active Ankylosing Spondylitis: A Phase III Randomized Trial (MEASURE 1). Arthritis Rheumatol. 2016;68(12):2901-10. https:// doi.org/10.1002/art.39805
- Baraliakos X, Østergaard M, Gensler LS, Poddubnyy D, Lee EY, Kiltz U, et al. Comparison of the Effects of Secukinumab and Adalimumab Biosimilar on Radiographic Progression in Patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis: Design of a Randomized, Phase IIIb Study (SURPASS). Clin Drug Investig. 2020;40(3):269-78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40261-020-00886-7
- Burmester GR, Durez P, Shestakova G, Genovese MC, Schulze-Koops H, Li Y, et al. Association of HLA-DRB1 alleles with clinical responses to the anti-interleukin-17A monoclonal antibody secukinumab in active rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2016;55(1):49-55. https://doi. org/10.1093/rheumatology/kev258
- Blanco FJ, Möricke R, Dokoupilova E, Codding C, Neal J, Andersson M, et al. Secukinumab in Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Phase III Randomized, Double-Blind, Active Comparator- and Placebo-Controlled Study. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017;69(6):1144-53. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.40070
- Dokoupilová E, Aelion J, Takeuchi T, Malavolta N, Sfikakis PP, Wang Y, et al. Secukinumab after anti-tumour necrosis factor-α therapy: a phase III study in active rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol. 2018;47(4):276-81. https://doi.org/10.1080/03009742.2017.1390605
- McInnes IB, Mease PJ, Kirkham B, Kavanaugh A, Ritchlin CT, Rahman P, et al. Secukinumab, a human anti-interleukin-17A monoclonal antibody, in patients with psoriatic arthritis (FUTURE 2): a randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2015;386(9999):1137-46. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)61134-5

- Kavanaugh A, McInnes IB, Mease PJ, Hall S, Chinoy H, Kivitz AJ, et al. Efficacy of Subcutaneous Secukinumab in Patients with Active Psoriatic Arthritis Stratified by Prior Tumor Necrosis Factor Inhibitor Use: Results from the Randomized Placebo-controlled FUTURE 2 Study. J Rheumatol. 2016;43(9):1713-7. https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.160275
- McInnes IB, Mease PJ, Ritchlin CT, Rahman P, Gottlieb AB, Kirkham B, et al. Secukinumab sustains improvement in signs and symptoms of psoriatic arthritis: 2 year results from the phase 3 FUTURE 2 study. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2017;56(11):1993-2003. https://doi.org/10.1093/ rheumatology/kex301
- Nash P, Mease PJ, McInnes IB, Rahman P, Ritchlin CT, Blanco R, et al. Efficacy and safety of secukinumab administration by autoinjector in patients with psoriatic arthritis: results from a randomized, placebocontrolled trial (FUTURE 3). Arthritis Res Ther. 2018;20(1):47. https://doi. org/10.1186/s13075-018-1551-x
- 23. Mease P, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Mpofu S, Rahman P, Tahir H, et al. Secukinumab improves active psoriatic arthritis symptoms and inhibits radiographic progression: primary results from the randomised, double-blind, phase III FUTURE 5 study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018;77(6): 890-7. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212687
- van der Heijde D, Mease PJ, Landewé RBM, Rahman P, Tahir H, Singhal A, et al. Secukinumab provides sustained low rates of radiographic progression in psoriatic arthritis: 52-week results from a phase 3 study, FUTURE 5. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2020;59(6):1325-34. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/rheumatology/kez420
- Oremus M, Wolfson C, Perrault A, Demers L, Momoli F, Moride Y. Interrater reliability of the modified Jadad quality scale for systematic reviews of Alzheimer's disease drug trials. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord. 2001;12(3):232-6. https://doi.org/10.1159/000051263
- Alzabin S, Abraham SM, Taher TE, Palfreeman A, Hull D, McNamee K, et al. Incomplete response of inflammatory arthritis to TNFα blockade is associated with the Th17 pathway. Ann Rheum Dis. 2012;71(10):1741-8. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-201024
- Puel A, Cypowyj S, Bustamante J, Wright JF, Liu L, Lim HK, et al. Chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis in humans with inborn errors of interleukin-17 immunity. Science. 2011;332(6025):65-8. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1200439
- Valeri M, Raffatellu M. Cytokines IL-17 and IL-22 in the host response to infection. Pathog Dis. 2016;74(9):ftw111. https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ ftw111
- Mease PJ, McInnes IB, Kirkham B, Kavanaugh A, Rahman P, van der Heijde D, et al. Secukinumab Inhibition of Interleukin-17A in Patients with Psoriatic Arthritis. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(14):1329-39. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa1412679
- Gaffen SL, Hernández-Santos N, Peterson AC. IL-17 signaling in host defense against Candida albicans. Immunol Res. 2011;50(2-3):181-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12026-011-8226-x
- Gottlieb AB, Mease PJ, Kirkham B, Nash P, Balsa AC, Combe B, et al. Secukinumab Efficacy in Psoriatic Arthritis: Machine Learning and Metaanalysis of Four Phase 3 Trials. J Clin Rheumatol. 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/RHU.000000000001302