

NEW SPECIMENS OF *ANOLIS PHYLLORHINUS* (SQUAMATA,  
POLYCHROTIDAE): THE FIRST FEMALE OF THE SPECIES  
AND OF PROBOSCID ANOLES

MIGUEL TREFAUT RODRIGUES<sup>1</sup>  
VINÍCIUS XAVIER<sup>1</sup>  
GABRIEL SKUK<sup>1,2</sup>  
DANTE PAVAN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT

*Among a series of eight specimens of Anolis phyllorhinus obtained in the northern part of the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil, one was determined to be the first female known for the species and the first so far reported for proboscoid anoles. The female lacks the characteristic male proboscis and, excepting a few consistent differences, is morphologically similar to females of Anolis punctatus, a species closely related and broadly sympatric with A. phyllorhinus. Males and females of the new series are described and new data on their ecology and behavior presented. The new data are consistent with the hypotheses that the male proboscis probably evolved as a sexual signal and that visual signals for intra and interspecific recognition are redundant in anoles.*

KEYWORDS: *Anolis phyllorhinus*, sexual signals, *Anolis*, Brazil, Polychrotidae.

<sup>1</sup> Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Biociências, Departamento de Zoologia, Caixa Postal 11.461, CEP 05422-970, São Paulo, SP, Brasil.

<sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Centro de Ciências Biológicas, Departamento de Zoologia, Praça Afrânio Jorge s/n, CEP 57072-970, Maceió, Al, Brasil.

## RESUMO

*Em uma série de 8 exemplares de Anolis phyllorhinus coletados em Aripuanã, no norte do estado de Mato Grosso encontra-se a primeira fêmea da espécie, que também vem a ser a primeira fêmea conhecida para os anolis dotados de apêndice nasal. A fêmea não apresenta a probóscide característica dos machos e, exceto por pequenas diferenças, embora consistentes, é morfologicamente muito semelhante às fêmeas de Anolis punctatus, espécie relacionada e amplamente simpátrica com Anolis phyllorhinus. Descrevem-se os machos e a fêmea da nova série, acrescidos de informações obtidas no campo sobre sua ecologia e comportamento. Os novos dados, concordam com hipóteses anteriores sugerindo que a probóscide do macho possivelmente evoluiu como um sinal sexual e que os sinais visuais para reconhecimento intra e interespecífico são redundantes no gênero Anolis.*

**PALAVRAS-CHAVE:** *Anolis phyllorhinus*, sinalização sexual, *Anolis*, Brasil, Polychrotidae.

## INTRODUCTION

A distinctive feature of some species of the highly diverse genus *Anolis* is the presence of a nasal appendage, or proboscis, at the front of the snout. Only three species of proboscid anoles have been so far described: *Anolis laevis*, Cope, 1876; *Anolis phyllorhinus*, Myers & Carvalho, 1945; and *Anolis proboscis*, Peters & Orcés, 1956. They are rare arboreal forest lizards with distributions encompassing the Pacific Choco in Ecuador (*Anolis proboscis*), Amazonian Peru (*Anolis laevis*), and Brazilian central Amazonia (*Anolis phyllorhinus*). Despite this wide total distributional area, only a total of nine specimens of proboscid anoles are known (Williams, 1979; Avila-Pires, 1995). These specimens, all males, along with a few ecological and color notes, are all we know for the entire group (Williams, 1979; Ávila Pires, 1995).

In October 1996 the State Secretariat of Planning for the State of Mato Grosso, Brazil, in a cooperative venture funded by the World Bank launched a large project aimed at planning agricultural, economic and conservation strategies for the State. This project, contracted by the firm Consórcio Nacional de Engenheiros Consultores (CNEC), involved selection of a series of 12 sampling sites, mostly in the Amazonian part of the State of Mato Grosso, to be the targets of intensive faunal, floral, geological and socioeconomic surveys. The first faunal survey trip, headed by P.E. Vanzolini and his students, was situated in Aripuanã, in the extreme northwestern part of the State. Two days after the arrival of our group at Aripuanã, his party left the area and our group continued

field work. Arriving at the field site we were astonished to learn that four specimens of the extremely rare lizard *Anolis phyllorhinus* had been already collected. During the 14 days of our collecting at Aripuanã two additional specimens were sighted but attempts at capture were unsuccessful. It was only during a second campaign to the area, almost a year later, that four additional specimens of this species were caught. The second sample includes the first female known for the species and the first so far reported for any of the proboscoid anoles. In an effort to improve knowledge of this rare group of lizards we here describe the new sample and comment upon the ecological and behavioral notes assembled in the field.

### Description of *Anolis phyllorhinus*

#### MALES

(Figs. 1-4) MZUSP 82542-82545; MZUSP 82609-82611

Rostral not visible from above, subtriangular, almost horizontally placed, wider than long, projecting over the mental; marginated and deeply indented posteriorly by 12 small post-rostrals. Post-rostrals mostly elongate, central ones longer, forming the ventral basis of the proboscis. Proboscis large and thin, about the same size as the head, highest at nostril level; emerging on top of head at the level of the third canthal, from the anterior margin of the frontal depression. Ventral margin of the proboscis straight in lateral view; dorsally curved, with the inflection pointing slightly toward the rounded tip of the nasal appendage. Dorsal part of proboscis covered with large, juxtaposed, and irregular scales, generally as wide as long, smooth or keeled; largest scales near snout, decreasing in size toward the tip of the appendage. Basal third of the leaf, especially from its medial portion to nasal level, with scales longer than wide, elongate and keeled. Distal portion of the proboscis with irregular scales, as wide as long. Between the scales of the proboscis, several diminutive granules are irregularly present. Sixteen to nineteen irregular longitudinal scale rows across proboscis at the level of anterior margin of rostral.

Nasal latero-anteriorly oriented, separated from supralabial by one scale; contacting post-rostrals. Snout scales rounded, convex and granular between the leaf and canthals, increasing progressively in size from canthals towards the highest part of the nasal leaf; among them several scattered and diminutive granules. Eight to ten scales across head at the level of second canthal. Canthus rostralis well defined, formed by four to five keeled canthals with their larger surface in the dorsal part of head, decreasing in size anteriorly. Anterior canthal separated from nasal by 2-3 rows of postnasal granules. A long superciliar follows posteriorly the first canthal; keeled, practically reaching the center of eye;




Figure 1. Dorsal, lateral, and ventral views of the head of a male of *Anolis phyllorhinus* (MZUSP 82.609) from Aripuanã. The bar represents 10 mm.

followed by small granules. A series of enlarged, juxtaposed and smooth scales, mostly elongate, contacting canthals on top of head. A very conspicuous concave frontal depression between the root of the nasal appendage and the middle of the supraorbital semicircles. Frontal depression with flat, polygonal, irregular, juxtaposed and somewhat rounded and enlarged scales, larger than those of the tip of snout and decreasing gradually in size towards the occipital. Fourteen to sixteen scales from the base of the nasal appendage to the occipital. Supraorbital semicircles with 7-9 scales, first and second the largest; separated medially by 1-2 scales. Supraocular disks with a group of 5-6 very irregular rows of enlarged, juxtaposed and flat scutes occupying almost 2/3 of the semicircles, decreasing



Figure 2. *Anolis phyllorhinus* male (MZUSP 82.609)

gradually in size and becoming conical granules in the rest of supraocular area. Supraocular disks separated from the supraorbital semicircles by a series of 1-2 elongate granules. Occipital larger than adjacent scales, separated from semicircles by 1-3 scales; pineal organ in the center of scale. Scales surrounding occipital polygonal, smooth, juxtaposed, slightly rugose, smaller than those on the top of head, decreasing progressively in size and becoming granular towards the temporal and occipital regions.

Loreals variable in size and shape, in two (anteriorly) to 4-7 (posteriorly) longitudinal rows, generally longer than wide posteriorly. Nine to eleven loreal scales in the loreolabial row; 4-7 loreals at the level of the second canthal. Preoculars 4-5, followed by 3-4 large suboculars contacting labials and a small additional subocular separated from labial. Suboculars longer than wide, with a sharp keel on the superior third of the scale accompanying their curvature. Supralabials 9-11, ninth generally under the eye; anterior ones longer, the last being a small elongate, and flat granule reaching the bucal commissure.

Temporal region covered with small conical granules similar to dorsal ones, separated from the eye and from the occipital region by an arc extending from the posterior part of supraorbital semicircles to the suboculars and formed by a series of 2-3 larger and flatter scales. An intertemporal ridge of this arc of enlarged flat scales extends posteriorly, showing a light inflection toward the ear, although not reaching it. This somewhat longitudinal ridge originates at the level of the palpebral suture and separates clearly a temporal and an occipital region of small granules. Granules from the temporal area flattened, slightly conical, smaller than those from occipital area where some flattened and larger scales are present. Ear opening small, about the size of the occipital, vertically oval; its inferior margin wider, placed at the level of the bucal commissure. Margin of the ear with small granules, anterior ones largest; external auditory meatus long.

Mental concave, much wider than high, smooth, almost completely divided in the midline, suture incomplete anteriorly; contacting laterally first infralabial and centrally the sublabials and four small granules, two on each side of median sulcus. Infralabials 8-10, first six subequal, the two posterior the smallest; sixth or seventh under the eye. A series of 6-7 enlarged, keeled, juxtaposed sublabials, as wide as and as long as the adjacent infralabials; the first 5 contacting infralabials. Sublabials internally marginated by elongate, juxtaposed, and usually smooth scales, distinctively largest than the adjacent chin granules. Chin region with small and elongate granules anteriorly, smaller and more rounded at mid-line, becoming enlarged and rounded at the beginning



Figure 3. A male of *Anolis phyllorhinus* in a glue trap (MZUSP 82.610).

of dewlap ridge. Dewlap moderate in size, extending from the ear level to just after the interbrachial region. Skin yellow in preservative, scales gray with scattered melanophores. Scales along rim subtriangular, enlarged, increasing in size posteriorly, much larger than the adjacent chin granules, with the approximate size or larger than the median ventrals; posterior scales of rim largest and more elongate.

Lateral face of neck with diminutive and juxtaposed conical granules, smooth, eventually keeled, somewhat smaller than those on temporal and occipital region. Dorsal and lateral parts of body with granules similar in size and shape to those on neck. Two vertebral rows of slightly enlarged scales, twice as large as adjacent granules, weakly keeled and not mucronate, forming an incipient dorsal crest extending from the occipital region to near the base of tail. Ventrals larger than dorsals, rounded, pseudocycloid, imbricate, smooth, in irregular transversal rows; decreasing in size towards flanks where they are granular and slightly more elongate than the middorsal granules. Total scales around body, 157-171. Anal region with granules similar to the dorsal ones.

Dorsal and lateral parts of basal portion of tail with irregularly transverse rings of scales, subsquared, smooth or eventually keeled, slightly larger than the dorsal granules. Scales increase progressively in size posteriorly, becoming more elongate, sharply keeled and mucronate toward the tip of tail, where two distinct crests are present. Near the base of tail scales increase in size from the dorsal to ventral part where they are smooth at base of tail; at least the two




Figure 4. Dewlap of a male of *Anolis phyllorhinus* (MZUSP 82.609).

medioventral rows of scales of tail clearly larger than long. As in the dorsal part of tail, scales of ventral part of tail become elongate, larger and sharply keeled, forming three and then two ventral longitudinal crests. Tip of tail with 4 crests forming a clavate verticil of strongly keeled, imbricate and mucronate scales. Usually a pair of enlarged postanals with the posterior margin rounded.

Scales of the dorsal surface of arm smooth, granular, juxtaposed, varying in size from about the size of dorsals to the enlarged vertebrales; eventually keeled, grading ventrally to smaller conical granules. Postero-dorsal region and ventral surface of forearm with similar scalation. Antero-dorsal portion of forearm with larger scales, keeled, imbricate, grading progressively to the larger scales of the dorsal surface of hand where the supradigital lamellae are wider than long and weakly keeled. Leg with granular scales identical to those of arm, except at the knee where they are largest and antero-superiorly where they are large, imbricate and keeled. Tibia with smaller granules dorsally than ventrally; all smooth. Subdigital lamellae on Finger IV 23-26; 38-41 on Toe IV. Palm and sole covered with rounded and smooth scales, varying from juxtaposed to slightly imbricate.

Color (Figs. 2 -5): Uniform leaf-green above; ventral parts lighter with a slightly creamy green tonality. Area surrounding eye yellowish. As usual in green anoles the color can change progressively or abruptly to dark brown. Dewlap bright red in life, becoming blue-greenish near the throat; dewlap scales bluish green.

#### FEMALE

(Fig. 5) MZUSP 82608

The female matches very closely the above description; major differences concern the head and are described below. The head is normal, the snout not swollen, and definitely not prominent. The nasal appendage is absent, although a distinctive vestige of it is present: from the level of the third canthal, just at the anterior border of the frontal depression, there are 7 aligned and elongate scales forming a low but distinctive keeled crest. First scale of the crest the largest, longer than wide, other scales decreasing in size and becoming more elongate forward. As in the male, scales marginating dorsally canthals are enlarged, elongate. Scales between the crest and the supracanthals are the smallest of the top of head, granular, keeled, the smaller ones just above nasal, increasing gradually in size posteriorly; mostly as wide as long, almost rounded; 7 scales between the crest and the canthal series. The two most anterior scales of the crest are smooth and are part of the 10 scales that marginate posteriorly the almost normal and vertically oriented rostral. Posterior margin of rostral indented, highly irregular. Scales on the frontal depression with lateral borders elevated, juxtaposed, much larger than those of the tip of snout but smaller than






Figure 5. Dorsal, lateral, and ventral views of the head of a female of *Anolis phyllorhinus* (MZUSP 82.608) from Aripuanã. The bar represents 10 mm.

the 2-3 first scales of the supraorbital semicircles which are enormous, as in males, the largest on top of head. First scale of the right supraorbital semicircle in broad contact with first canthal, second larger, probably the result of a fusion rearrangement involving first and second scales of the right semicircle. One or two rows of elongate granules isolating semicircles from the supraocular disk. Occipital with about the same size of ear. Nasal separate from rostral and laterally oriented. One or two keeled enlarged vertebral rows of scales, only conspicuous at midbody, not extending to tail. Dewlap almost vestigial, creamy-white in life. Granules of the dewlap area rounded at tip, almost triangular, increasing gradually in size toward ventrals. Total scales around body, 168. In all other aspects scalation is identical to that described for males. As in males, color in life was uniformly leaf-green with a creamy green color ventrally. After capture the color changed irregularly to dark brown.

*Comparisons:* diagnosis and comparisons among anoles of the *proboscis* and *punctatus* species groups were made by Williams (1979). As females of the other species of the *proboscis* group are unknown, we restrict our comparisons of *Anolis phyllorhinus* to *A. punctatus*, the commonest species of *punctatus* group which is sympatric with *Anolis phyllorhinus* along part of central Amazonia. The only other species of the *punctatus* group occurring in the area is *Anolis philopunctatus*, a close relative of *A. punctatus* and differing from it only by the dewlap color in males; females of this species remain unknown (Rodrigues, 1988; Avila-Pires, 1995). *Anolis vaupesianus*, also a *punctatus* relative and differing from it by its black dewlap, is known only from the Vaupés region in Colombia, western Amazonia.

Except for the presence of the nasal appendage and a smaller dewlap in males, *Anolis phyllorhinus* is very similar to *A. punctatus*. Both are green anoles of approximately the same body size (maximum snout-vent length 87 mm in *phyllorhinus*; 88 mm in *punctatus*; meristic data for all specimens of *A. phyllorhinus* known are shown in Table 1) The absence of the nasal leaf in females of *A. phyllorhinus* makes the apparent similarities with *punctatus* still more striking. In almost all external quantitative characters usually studied in anoles (Williams, 1976), they are identical (ranges for *punctatus* and *phyllorhinus*, respectively): canthals (4-8; 4-5); scales across second canthal (7-12; 8-10); scales between supraorbital semicircles (0-2; 1-2); supralabials (8-12; 8-11); infralabials (7-10; 8-10); loreal rows (5-7; 4-7); subdigital lamellae under Finger IV (21-27; 23-26); and subdigital lamellae under Toe IV (37-41; 38-41). The only quantitative difference among *A. phyllorhinus* and *A. punctatus* is the number of post-rostrals: there are 10-11 post-rostral scales in *phyllorhinus* and 5-9 in all the specimens (19) of *punctatus* examined. This character alone permits both species to be readily keyed out, regardless of sex. Additionally, the following features permit secure identification of the two species.

Table 1. Fresh weight (W), snout-vent length (SVL), tail length (TL), head length (HL), length of proboscis (PBL) and, length of head + proboscis length (THL) for all specimens of *Anolis phyllorhinus* known. All measurements are in millimeters; weight in grams.

Number	Sex	W	SVL	TL	HL	PBL	THL
MZUSP 82609	male	11.5	86	205	24.5	23	39.5
MZUSP 82611	male	9.5	83	181	22.5	20.4	35.3
MZUSP 82610	male	12.0	87	191	24.0	23.2	39.7
MZUSP 82608	female	–	72	171	20.1	–	–
MZUSP 82545	male	–	82	187	23.4	21.4	36.3
MZUSP 82542	male	–	83	193	23.7	21.5	37.3
MZUSP 82544	male	–	85	198	24.0	21.4	38.7
MZUSP 82543	male	–	–	–	–	–	–
MZUSP 7118	male	–	48	89	13.8	± 10	–
MNRJ 1804 (holotype)	male	–	73	153	20	21	–

The scales marginating anteriorly the sublabial area are larger and usually strongly keeled in *A. punctatus*; they are smooth and smaller in *A. phyllorhinus*. In *Anolis phyllorhinus* the first scale of the supraorbital semicircles is always in contact with the first canthal, and the first and second scale of the supraorbital semicircles are generally larger than those of *punctatus*. In *A. punctatus*, there is usually one (occasionally two) small scales in the anterior part of semicircles, but this character is not constant. In the female of *Anolis phyllorhinus* a distinctive vestige of the male appendage is present. There are 7 very distinctive and longitudinally aligned scales on top of snout forming a low but very characteristic crest of keeled scales between the snout and the anterior border of the frontal depression. The first (posterior) scale of the crest is the largest, longer than wide, the following scales decrease progressively in size and become more elongate anteriorly. The scales between the crest and the supracanthals, are the smallest of the top of head, granular, keeled, increasing gradually in size posteriorly, mostly as wide as long. We checked all females of *A. punctatus* at MZUSP collection (9 specimens) and none shows anything resembling the crest referred to above. It seems that the feature represents an incipient state of the highly developed nasal appendage of the males of *A. phyllorhinus*. In the females of *A. punctatus*, scales at the tip of the snout are variable in size and shape and diversely arranged, but never show any resemblance to the condition observed in *A. phyllorhinus*. The female of *Anolis phyllorhinus* has 1-2 rows of marginating canthals scales dorsally (supracanthals); these scales are enlarged longitudinally, distinctively larger than the scales of frontal depression, and are always in contact with the first enlarged scale of the supraorbital semicircle. In four females of *punctatus* from Borba, the type locality of *A. phyllorhinus*, and where the two species are sympatric, supracanthals are not enlarged, the scales from the frontal depression are larger than those of the female of *A. phyllorhinus* and the scales of the top of snout are elongate between the fifth canthal and the rostral, strongly

keeled, with keels longitudinally oriented; from there towards the frontal depression all scales are transversally oriented. Finally, at least in females from Borba, there are no enlarged rows of vertebral scales; the female of *A. phyllorhinus* presents two slightly enlarged rows of vertebral scales, never as conspicuous as in males, but distinctively enlarged at midbody.

Male differences, are mostly restricted to the nasal leaf and size of dewlap. The extent of swelling in the tip of snout of *A. punctatus* is variable, (see, for example, figure of *A. transfasciatus* (= *A. punctatus*) in Myers and Carvalho, 1945) but never approaches the leaf-like appendage of male *A. phyllorhinus*. The dewlap of *Anolis punctatus* has been reported as almost invariable throughout its range, being very large, and orange or orange-yellow colored with green-yellowish or gray scales (Williams, 1982; Rodrigues, 1988). In *Anolis phyllorhinus* the dewlap is much smaller, bright red with bluish-green scales (Figure 5). Surprisingly, the only male of *Anolis punctatus* obtained at Aripuanã (MZUSP 81525) has a creamy white dewlap, very different in colour from the dewlaps of *punctatus* from other areas in Amazonia or Atlantic forest. In all other characters this specimen agrees with the other individuals of *Anolis punctatus*. We will return to this point in the discussion.

#### HABITAT AND NATURAL HISTORY NOTES

Specimens of *Anolis phyllorhinus* were obtained in the border of a primary forest at the left bank of Rio Aripuanã, state of Mato Grosso (10°15'03"S, 59°32'57"W). The area was formerly covered by primary forests characterized by the abundance of very high and large trees, (up to 50 m and more than a meter at breast high), large lianas, an open understory, high abundance and diversity of palms and epiphytes, and a dense leaf-litter. As the result of logging activity, the large trees were cut; their fall and subsequent removal left large clearings connected by anastomosed trails along the forest. It was at these sunnier places in logged areas that all the specimens were seen. The largest distance between specimens collected did not exceeded 300 m. Although during our stay in the field we searched for specimens in the primary forest, our effort was unsuccessful. Only one specimen (# 1 in table 2) was observed (not collected) outside of the aforementioned area; it was in a similar logged area at the right bank of the river (10°16'19"S, 59°23'18"W), in a spot where, 15 meters away, an adult male of *Anolis punctatus* was collected. One specimen of *Anolis phyllorhinus* was collected in a glue trap fixed to a tree (Fig. 3), all the others were shot with guns. Table 2 summarizes the data obtained for all specimens of green anoles sighted. Sample size is too small to indicate microhabitat perch preference, but it appears that *A. phyllorhinus* explores virtually all structural habitats on the trunk of thin to thick trees from the ground

Table 2. Field data for all specimens of *Anolis phyllorhinus* (phyl) and *A. punctatus* (punc) observed at Aripuanã, state of Mato Grosso. (#) individual, (M) male, (F) female, (TD) tree diameter; individuals (1) and (2) of *Anolis phyllorhinus* were observed but not collected.

#	Sex	Date and time	Habitat	TD (cm)	Height t (m)	Sun/shade	Color	Additional notes
phyl (1)	M	11. 96 8 hs	border of primary forest in an old logged area	60	1,5	sun	dark brown	climbed up to 15 m bobbing and flashing the red dewlap.
phyl (2)	M	11. 96 16 hs	border of primary forest in a recently logged area	5	2	sun	dark brown	jump to a 30 cm isolated tree trunk and climbed up to 20 m bobbing and flashing the red dewlap; jumped in branches and leaves.
phyl (3)	M	10. 97 ?	border of primary forest in a recently logged area	15	2	?	brown	caught in a glue trap; turned green when the trap was removed (see figure 4).
phyl (4)	M	10. 97 17 hs	border of primary forest in a recently logged area	15	4	shade	brown	looking down in an isolated tree. Turned green immediately after being shot.
phyl (5)	M	10. 97 14:30	border of primary forest in a recently logged area	35	1	sun	dark brown	Moving from sun to shade; at approach climbed intermitently up to 3 m with intensive bobbing and flashing the red dewlap at breaks.
phyl (6)	F	10. 97 15 hs	border of primary forest in a recently logged area	10	1	sun	green	in an abandoned mining ranch. jump to a 60 cm diameter tree and climb to 5 m. The green ground colour was maintained.
phyl (7-8)	M	11. 96 10:30 hs	border of primary forest in logged area	20	4 -5	sun	dark brown	These two specimens were involved in a fight, moving quickly and changing positions between 4 and 5 m high. Head bobbing and dewlap flashing were more frequent by the probable owner of the territory that was continuously challenging the intruder.
phyl (9)	M	11. 96 11 hs	border of primary forest in a recently logged area	30	3	sun	dark brown	Looking down
phyl (10)	M	11. 96 10:30 hs	border of primary forest in a recently logged area	25	4	sun	dark brown	Looking down
punc	M	11. 96 17 hs	border of primary forest in a relatively old logged area	20	4	shade	green	looking down

level to the canopy. Although most specimens were first sighted at low heights in trees, they climbed quickly when disturbed, jumping eventually on to branches and leaves. One specimen was observed moving between sun and shade before capture, most other specimens were brown colored when first sighted. These last specimens were basking at sunny patches on tree trunks, indicating that *Anolis phyllorhinus* practice behavioral thermoregulation. When spotted, most specimens were in position of territorial survey: pointing downwards, head elevated, and limbs extended. Upon noticing an observer, the green color immediately changed to brown (those already brown clearly changed to a darker tone), and most tried to escape; climbing the tree, displaying, head bobbing and flashing the bright red dewlap.

The seven male specimens were preserved immediately after capture, their stomachs and intestines were removed and the contents spread on Petri dishes to examine food preferences. Prey items are shown in Table 3 identified to the lowest possible taxonomic category. No empty stomachs were found. Forty-seven prey items, belonging to fourteen categories of arthropods, were identified. Hymenoptera and Coleoptera were the commonest items by % of the total, and Lepidopteran larvae was the category present in most stomachs (5 of 7, or 71%). Four individuals (57%) contained nematodes in their digestive tracts. These results indicate that the diet of *Anolis phyllorhinus* is largely insectivorous and similar to other amazonian *Anolis* (Hoogmoed, 1973; Duellman, 1978).

Table 3. Diet composition of *Anolis phyllorhinus* from Aripuanã, state of Mato Grosso, based on the analysis of stomach contents of seven male specimens. (N) total number of a given prey category considering the digestive tracts of all lizards; (%) percentage of the item category in total, and (Frequency) the number of lizards containing a given item in stomach.

Prey type	N	%	Frequency
Aranae	2	4.3	2
Coleoptera (unidentified)	4	8.5	4
Cerambycidae	3	6.4	3
Curculionidae	2	4.3	1
Scarabaeidae	1	2.1	1
Heteroptera	–	–	–
Aradidae	1	2.1	1
Pentatomidae	3	6.4	3
Hymenoptera(unidentified)	4	8.5	4
Braconidae	1	2.1	1
Formicidae	6	12.8	2
Lepidoptera (larvae)	8	17.0	5
Mantodea	1	2.1	1
Orthoptera	6	12.8	4
Unidentified parts	5	10.6	3
Total	47	100.0	–

## DISCUSSION

Dewlaps in anoles are considered behavioral devices acting as signals for intra or interspecific recognition (Williams and Rand, 1977). This suggestion derives from the fact that dewlaps are reduced or absent in females, highly constant geographically, and that sympatric species generally differ strikingly in dewlap color, dewlap size, or both. Williams and Rand (1977) and Rand and Williams (1970) studied their potential as signals in anole communities of different sizes, and suggested frequent redundancy of signals conveyed by the dewlap to the intended recipient.

Females of *Anolis phyllorhinus* and *A. punctatus* are almost identical, whereas males differ in a series of attributes. Males of *Anolis phyllorhinus* and *Anolis punctatus* from Aripuanã are also identical in color and size, but have strikingly different dewlaps: in *punctatus* the dewlap is very large, creamy-white; all males of *phyllorhinus* have a small and bright red dewlap. The dewlap of the *phyllorhinus* female is vestigial but creamy white as in *punctatus*.

One question provoked by these new data is why the *punctatus* dewlap is creamy white at Aripuanã, rather than the orange-yellow present everywhere else across its range? Is this local pattern due to a local polymorphism, or indicative of an undescribed species? Is this creamy white dewlap the result of character displacement due to the presence of *phyllorhinus*? Many more questions than answers are possible given the lack of a well corroborated phylogenetic hypothesis for this group of anoles. However, some considerations might be appropriate at this time.

Isolated or combined, dewlap size (large vs. small) and dewlap color (creamy white vs. bright red), are useful characters for interspecific visual recognition signs between the two species. Nevertheless, the most conspicuous permanent difference (i.e. in animals not involved in displays) between males of *Anolis punctatus* and *A. phyllorhinus* is the nasal leaf. The size of the appendage (almost equaling the size of head) and its absence in females suggests that the structure is possibly related to sex recognition, although it could also be operating at an interspecific level. The strong differences between *Anolis punctatus* and *A. phyllorhinus* in dewlap size, dewlap color and presence/absence of the nasal leaf, all important in intra and interspecific discrimination, indicate some redundancy of signals, *sensu* Williams and Rand (1977), even for animals of very similar body size, shape, color, and possibly in ecological preferences.

To answer these puzzling questions field-oriented behavioral studies and an explicit phylogenetic framework to study these behavioral characters are much in need. As present anole communities are the result of complex and overlapping interactions occurring in time and space, much more data are needed in order to address other evolutionary issues. For example, are the proboscis

anoles monophyletic? How did the proboscis evolved? How is *Anolis phyllorhinus* related to the green species of the *punctatus* group? Are the populations of *Anolis punctatus* monophyletic? What is the relationship between the black dewlap (*A. vaupesianus*), and spotted dewlap (*A. philopunctatus*) forms, or between the Aripuanã's creamy white dewlap and the rest of populations of *punctatus* with orange-yellowish dewlaps? Are the distributions of these restricted morphs the result of recent differentiation *in situ* or they are the relicts of older radiations with larger distributions? All of these issues should be first addressed before considering more complex matters. To approach such themes, like the history of intra and/or interspecific signals conveyed by the proboscis or the size, shape, and color of dewlap in anole communities necessarily we need a reliable phylogeny. A final sobering note, is that these investigations are carried out against a background of rapid habitat loss, at least in Aripuanã. Time to resolve these questions before their subjects disappear may be limited.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Consórcio Nacional de Engenheiros Consultores (CNEC), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa (FAPESP) and, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for support. For their invaluable help in the field we thank A. P. Carmignotto and M. J. J. Silva. We also thank R. V. Neto and B. Rondon, the collectors of the first four specimens of *Anolis phyllorhinus* at Aripuanã for communicating to us all their field notes on the specimens they caught. At the time they were members of the party lead by P. E. Vanzolini; our sincere thanks to all of them. A special thanks is also due to P. E. Vanzolini, Cristina Murgel and M. K. Amaral for their invaluable help in organizing the field trips. A. Chek and J. Sites made invaluable suggestions in the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Ávila-Pires, T.C.S. 1995. Lizards of Brazilian Amazonia (Reptilia: Squamata). Zool. Verh. Leiden 299:1-706.
- Duellman, W.E. 1978. The biology of an equatorial herpetofauna in amazonian Ecuador. Misc. Publ. Mus. Nat. Hist. Univ. Kansas 65:1-352.
- Hoogmoed, M.S. 1973. Notes on the herpetofauna of Surinam. IV. The lizards and amphisbaenians of Surinam. Junk, The Hague: 1-419.
- Myers, G.S. & A.L. Carvalho. 1945. A strange leaf-nosed lizard of the genus *Anolis* from Amazonia. Bol. Mus. Nacional, n. serie, zoologia 43: 14pp + 9 pl.
- Peters, J.A. & Orcés, G.V. 1956. A third leaf-nosed species of the lizard genus *Anolis* from South America. Breviora 62:8 pp.



- Rand, A.S. & E.E. Williams. 1970. An estimation of redundancy and information content of anole dewlaps. *Amer. Nat.*, 104:99-103.
- Rodrigues, M.T. 1988. A new anole of the *punctatus* group from central Amazonia (Sauria, Iguanidae). *Papéis Avulsos Zool.*, S. Paulo 36(29):333-336.
- Williams, E.E. 1965. South American *Anolis* (Sauria, Iguanidae): two new species of the *punctatus* group. *Breviora* 233: 15 pp.
- Williams, E.E. 1976. South American anoles: the species groups. *Papéis Avulsos Zool.*, S. Paulo 29(26):259-268.
- Williams, E.E. 1979. South American anoles: the species groups. 2. The proboscis anoles (*Anolis laevis* group). *Breviora* 449:19 pp.
- Williams, E.E. 1982. Three new species of the *Anolis punctatus* complex from Amazonian and inter-andean Colombia, with comments on the eastern members of the *punctatus* species group. *Breviora* 467:38 pp.
- Williams, E.E. & A.S. Rand. 1977. Species recognition, dewlap function, and faunal size. *Amer. Zool.*, 17:261-270.
- Williams, E.E. & P.E. Vanzolini. 1980. Notes and biogeographic comments on anoles from Brasil. *Papéis Avulsos Zool.*, S. Paulo 34(6):99-108.

## APPENDIX I : MATERIAL EXAMINED

*Anolis punctatus*. BRASIL. Alagoas: São Miguel dos Campos: MZUSP 55568. Acre: Alto Purús: MZUSP 2481; Porto Walter: MZUSP 5339, 53341. Amazonas: Barreira do Matupirí, Rio Madeira: MZUSP 42159; Benjamin Constant: MZUSP 9146; Berurí: MZUSP 38196; Boca do Acre: MZUSP 36978; Borba, Rio Madeira: MZUSP 41358, 41359, 42635, 42636; Cabeceira do Rio Urucú: MZUSP 75210, 75211; Itapiranga: MZUSP 16907, 33058; Lago Mapará, Rio Japurá: MZUSP 47069-47074; Moura: 25603, 25869, 25902-25904; Paraná de Jacitara, Rio Japurá: MZUSP 47426, 47427; Puruzinho, Rio Madeira: MZUSP 41758-41760; Reserva Ducke: MZUSP 13415, 57338; Vila Bitencourt: MZUSP 46673. Bahia: São José, Fazenda Unacau: MZUSP 66420, 66421. Espírito Santo: Rio Itaúnas: MZUSP 36951. Mato Grosso: Aripuanã: MZUSP 81525; Cláudia, Fazenda Iracema: MZUSP 81717, 81718; Gaúcha do Norte: MZUSP 81792; Vila Rica: MZUSP 82888. Pará: As Pedras, Rio Cuminá-Mirí: MZUSP 18551-18556; Belém: MZUSP 9928, 9732-9739; Cachimbo: MZUSP 3708-3712; Cachoeira do Espelho, Rio Xingú: MZUSP 66398; Canindé, Rio Gurupi: MZUSP 9060, 9061; Carajás: MZUSP 63806; Juruá, Rio Xingu: MZUSP 67363; Lago Jacaré, Rio Trombetas: MZUSP 53823; Monte Cristo, Rio Tapajós: MZUSP 20997, 20998, 21001, 21081-21086; Serra de Kukoinhokren, Rio Riozinho: MZUSP 78404, 79198; Óbidos: MZUSP 5932; Oriximiná: MZUSP 16390; Uruá, Parque Nacional da Amazônia, Rio Tapajós: MZUSP 52585-52588, 53619-53622. Paraíba: Mamanguape: MZUSP 59102, 59103. Pernambuco: Recife: MZUSP 57793, 57794. Rio de Janeiro: Floresta da Tijuca: MZUSP 75159. Rondônia: Cachoeira de Nazaré, Rio Machado: MZUSP 66328; Mutúm-Paraná: MZUSP 3717. Roraima: São Luís do Anauá: MZUSP 72813, 72814; Missão Catrimani: MZUSP 73436. Sergipe: Santo Amaro das Brotas: MZUSP 49360-49375. ECUADOR. Pastaza: Canelos: MZUSP 3376; Sarayacu: MZUSP 3379; Loreto: MZUSP 3380; Rio Bobonaza: MZUSP 11369; Puyo: MZUSP 54708. PERU. Loreto: Igarapé Champaia, Alto Curanja: MZUSP 3322; Estirón, Rio Ampiyacu: MZUSP 13473.



# Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia

## EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

**Editor-in-Chief.** Hussam Zaher, Serviço de Vertebrados, Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 42594, 04299-970, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. E-mail: hzaher@ib.usp.br.

**Associated Editors.** Mario C. C. de Pinna (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil), Antonio C. Marques (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil), Sergio A. Vanin (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil).

**Editorial Board.** Adriano Kury (Museu Nacional do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil), Aziz N. Ab-Saber (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil), Carlos Roberto F. Brandão, (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil), Christian de Muizon (Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, France), Darrel Frost (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.), Gerardo Lamas (Museu Javier Prado de Lima, Peru), H. R. Heyer (National Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.), James Carpenter (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.), James Patton (University of Berkeley, U.S.A.), John Maisey (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.), Marcos Raposo (Museu Nacional do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), Marcos Tavares (Universidade Santa Ursula, Brazil), Mario de Vivo (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil), Miguel T. U. Rodrigues (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil), Naércio Menezes (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil), Nelson Papavero (Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi, Brazil), Olivier Rieppel (Field Museum of Natural History, U.S.A), Paulo E. Vanzolini (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil), Paulo Young (Museu Nacional do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), Ralf Holzentahl (University of Minesotta, U.S.A.), Randahl Schuh (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.), Ricardo Macedo Correa e Castro (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil), Richard Prum (University of Kansas, U.S.A.), Richard Vari (National Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.), Rudiger Bieler (Field Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.), Ubirajara Martins (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil), Walter A. P. Boeger (Universidade Federal do Paraná, Brasil).

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS

**General Information.** *Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia (PAZ)* covers primarily the fields of Zoology, publishing original contributions in systematics, paleontology, evolutionary biology, ecology, taxonomy, anatomy, behavior, functional morphology, molecular biology, ontogeny, faunistic studies and biogeography. *PAZ* also encourages submission of theoretical and empirical studies that explore principles and methods of systematics.

All contributions must follow the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature and relevant specimens should be properly curated and deposited in a recognized public or private, non-profit institution. Tissue samples should be referred to their voucher specimens and all nucleotide sequence data (aligned as well as unaligned) should be submitted to Genbank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>) or EMBL (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/>).

**Peer Review.** All submissions to *PAZ* are subject to review by at least two referees and the Editor-in-Chief. Three legible copies (including photocopies of original illustrations) and original illustrations must be submitted; all authors will be notified of submission date. Authors may suggest potential reviewers. Communications regarding acceptance or rejection of manuscripts are made through correspondence with the first or corresponding author only. Once a manuscript is accepted providing changes suggested by the reviewers, the author is requested to return a revised version incorporating those changes (or a detailed explanation of why reviewer's suggestions were not followed) in four weeks upon receiving the communication by the editor. Revised manuscripts must be submitted as both hard copy and electronic file (3.5" disk, Zip Drive, or CD-Rom with text in Microsoft Word format).

**Proofs.** Page-proofs with the revised version will be sent to the first or corresponding author. Page-proofs must be returned to the editor in two weeks, preferably within 48 hours. Failure to return the proof promptly may be interpreted as approval with no changes and/or may delay publication. Only necessary corrections in proof will be permitted. Once page proof is sent to the author, further alterations and/or significant additions of text are permitted only at the author's expense or in the form of a brief appendix ("note added in proof").

**Submission of Manuscripts.** Manuscripts should be sent to the Editor-in-Chief (H. Zaher, Museu de Zoologia da USP, Caixa Postal 42694, CEP 04299-970, São Paulo, SP, Brasil). Manuscripts are considered on the understanding that they have not been published or will not appear elsewhere in substantially the same or abbreviated form. The criteria for acceptance of articles are quality and relevance of research, clarity of text, and compliance with the guidelines for manuscript preparation.

Manuscripts should be written preferentially in English, but texts in Portuguese or Spanish will also be considered. Studies with a broad coverage are encouraged to be submitted in English. All manuscripts should include abstracts in Portuguese **and** English regardless of the original language.

Authors are requested to pay attention to the instructions concerning the preparation of the manuscripts. Close adherence to the guidelines will expedite processing of the manuscript, whereas manuscripts deviating from the required form will be returned for revision prior to review.

# Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia

**Manuscript Form.** Manuscripts should not exceed 100 pages of double-spaced typescript on 21 by 29.7 cm (A4 format) or 21.5 by 28 cm (letter format) paper, with wide margins. The pages of the manuscript should be numbered consecutively.

The text of articles should be arranged in the following order: title page, abstract, body of text, literature cited, tables, appendices, and figure captions. Each of these sections should begin on a new page. All typescript pages must be double-spaced.

- (1) *Title page:* This should include the title, author(s) name(s), institutions, and key words in English as well as in the language of the manuscript, and a short running title in English. The title should be concise and, where appropriate, should include mention of families and/or higher taxa. Names of new taxa should not be included in titles.
- (2) *Abstract:* All papers should have an abstract in English, regardless of the original language. The abstract is of great importance as it may be reproduced elsewhere. It should be in a form intelligible if published alone in conjunction with the title and should summarize the main facts, ideas, and conclusions of the article. Indicative abstracts are strongly discouraged. Include all new taxonomic names for referencing purposes. Abbreviations should be avoided. It should not include references. Abstracts should not exceed 350 words.
- (3) *Body of text:* The main body of the text should include the following sections: Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion and Acknowledgments at end. Primary headings in the text should be in capital letters and centered; the following text should begin on the next line, indented. Secondary headings should be in capital and lowercase letters and flush left; the following text should begin on the next line, indented. Tertiary headings should be in capital and lower case letters, in italics and indented; the following text should be on the same line and separated from the heading by a hyphen.
- (4) *Literature cited:* Citations in the text should be given as: Silva (1998)..., Silva (1998: 14-20)..., Silva (1998: figs. 1, 2)..., Silva (1998a, b)..., Silva and Oliveira (1998)..., (Silva, 1998)..., (Rangel, 1890; Silva and Oliveira, 1998a, b; Adams, 2000)..., (Silva, pers. comm.)..., (Silva et al., 1998), the latter when the paper has three or more authors. The reference need not be cited when author and date are given only as authority for a taxonomic name. The literature section should be arranged strictly alphabetically and given in the following format:

**Journal Article** – Silva, H. R., H. Oliveira & S. Rangel. Year. Article title. Journal name, 00:000-000. Names of journals must be spelled out in full.

**Books** – Silva, H. R. Year. Book title. Publisher, Place, 000 pp.

**Articles in Books** – Silva, H. R. Year. Article title; pp. 000-000. In: H. Oliveira & S. Rangel (Eds.), Book Title. Publisher, Place.

**Articles in Larger Works** – Silva, H. R. Year. Article title; pp. 000-000. In: H. Oliveira & S. Rangel (Eds.), Title of Larger Work. Serial Publication 00. Publisher, Place.

**Dissertations and Theses** – Silva, H. R. Year. Dissertation title. Ph.D. dissertation, University, Place, 000 pp.

**Tables.** All tables must be numbered in the same sequence in which they appear in the text. Authors are encouraged to indicate where the tables should be placed in the text. They should be comprehensible without reference to the text. Tables should be formatted with horizontal, not vertical, rules. In the text, tables should be referred as Table 1, Tables 2 and 3, Tables 2-6. Use "TABLE" in the table heading.

**Illustrations.** Figures should be numbered consecutively, in the same sequence they appear in the text. Separate illustrations of a composite figure should be identified by capital letters and referred in the text as so (fig. 1A). Where possible, letters should be placed in the lower right corner of each illustration of a composite figure. Hand-written lettering on illustrations are unacceptable. Illustrations should be mounted on stout, white cardboard. Figures should be mounted in order to minimize blank areas between separate illustrations. High quality color or black and white photographs, and computer generated figures are preferable. Authors are encouraged to indicate where the figures should be placed in the text. Use "(Fig(s).)" and "Figure(s)" for referring to figures in the text, but "FIGURE(S)" in the figure captions and "(fig(s).)" when referring to figures in another paper.

*For other details of manuscript preparation of format, consult the CBE Style Manual, available from the Council of Science Editors (<http://www.councilscienceeditors.org/pubs>).*

