

# An example of simple Lie superalgebra with several invariant bilinear forms

S.E.Konstein<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** Simple associative superalgebra with 2 independent supertraces is presented. Its commutant is a simple Lie superalgebra which has at least 2 independent invariant bilinear forms.

## 1 Introduction

Shchepochkina proved [1] that the dimension of the space of invariant supersymmetric nondegenerate bilinear forms on any finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebra is  $\leq 1$ . Here a simple infinite dimensional associative superalgebra with 2 independent supertraces is described. The Lie superalgebra, associated with it is simple and has at least 2 independent invariant bilinear forms.

The construction is based on the associative superalgebra  $H_{W(\mathfrak{R})}(\nu)$ , the superalgebra of observables of the rational Calogero model based on the root system  $\mathfrak{R}$  [2]; here  $W(\mathfrak{R})$  is the Coxeter group generated by  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\nu$  is a coupling constant(s) of this Calogero model.

It is shown in [3] that  $H_{W(\mathfrak{R})}(\nu)$  possesses  $Q(\mathfrak{R})$ -dimensional space of the supertraces, where  $Q(\mathfrak{R})$  is the number of conjugacy classes of the elements of  $W(\mathfrak{R})$  which have no eigenvalue  $-1$ . In particular  $Q(A_{N-1})$  is equal to the number of decompositions  $N$  into the sum of odd integers [4] and so  $H_{W(A_2)}(\nu) = H_{S_3}(\nu)$  has 2-dimensional space of the supertraces.

Each supertrace  $str(\cdot)$  generates invariant supersymmetric bilinear form  $B_{str}(f, g) \stackrel{def}{=} str(fg)$ . It is shown in [5] that all the supertraces on  $H_{S_3}(\nu)$  generate nondegenerate bilinear forms if and only if  $\nu \neq n + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\nu \neq n \pm \frac{1}{3}$  for every integer  $n$ . The conjecture arises that the associative superalgebras  $H_{S_3}(\nu)$  are simple if  $\nu \neq n + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\nu \neq n \pm \frac{1}{3}$  which is proved in [6] for the case  $\nu = 0$ .

In this report based on [6] the associative superalgebra  $H_{S_3}(0)$  with two-dimensional space of supertraces is presented. It is shown that (i) it is simple, (ii) its commutant  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = [H_{S_3}(0), H_{S_3}(0)]$  is a simple Lie superalgebra and (iii)  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  has at least 2-dimensional space of nondegenerate bilinear invariant forms.

---

<sup>1</sup>The author is very grateful to M. Vasiliev and to D. Leites for useful discussions and to organizers of the conference "Lie and Jordan Algebras, their Representations and Applications-II" for hospitality. This work was supported by the RFBR grant No. 02-02-17067, by the RFBR grant for Leading Scientific Schools No. 1578-2003-2 and partially by Brazilian foundation FAPESP. The author was also partially supported by CCInt and CAPES.

## 2 Algebra declared in the title

Consider the associative algebra which is semidirect product of Weyl algebra  $W^2$  generated by elements  $x^\alpha, y^\alpha, 1$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1$ ) and  $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$  generated by elements  $L_i, Q_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ ) where the generating elements satisfy the following relations:

$$[x^\alpha, x^\beta] = [y^\alpha, y^\beta] = 0, \quad [y^\alpha, x^\beta] = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (1)$$

$$L_i L_j = \delta_{i+j} Q_j, \quad L_i Q_j = \delta_{i-j} L_j, \quad (2)$$

$$Q_i L_j = \delta_{i+j} L_j, \quad Q_i Q_j = \delta_{i-j} Q_j, \quad (3)$$

$$Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_{-1} = 1, \quad (4)$$

(here  $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} = -\varepsilon^{\beta\alpha}$ ,  $\varepsilon^{01} = 1$  and  $\delta_0 = 1$ ,  $\delta_i = 0$  if  $i \neq 0$ )

$$L_i x^\alpha = y^\alpha L_{i+1}, \quad L_i y^\alpha = x^\alpha L_{i-1}, \quad (5)$$

$$Q_i x^\alpha = x^\alpha Q_{i+1}, \quad Q_i y^\alpha = y^\alpha Q_{i-1}. \quad (6)$$

**Definition 1.**  $H_{S_3}(0)$  is the associative superalgebra of all polynomials in the operators  $x^\alpha, y^\alpha, Q_i$  and  $L_i$  satisfying the relations (1)-(6) with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading  $\pi$  defined by the formula:  $\pi(x^\alpha) = \pi(y^\alpha) = 1$ ,  $\pi(L_i) = \pi(Q_i) = 0$ .

This algebra can be realized in the terms of the operators acting on the space of complex valued smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Indeed, let  $a_k^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z_k + (-1)^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k})$  and  $\sigma f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = f(z_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(2)}, z_{\sigma(3)})$  for all  $\sigma \in S_3$ . Let  $K_{ij} = K_{ji} \in S_3$  be the operators of elementary transpositions and  $\lambda = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ .

Then the operators

$$\begin{aligned} x^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(a_1^\alpha + \lambda a_2^\alpha + \lambda^2 a_3^\alpha), \\ y^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(a_1^\alpha + \lambda^2 a_2^\alpha + \lambda a_3^\alpha), \\ L_k &= \frac{1}{3}(\lambda^k K_{12} + K_{23} + \lambda^{-k} K_{31}), \\ Q_k &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + \lambda^k K_{12} K_{13} + \lambda^{-k} K_{12} K_{23}), \\ L_{i+3} &= L_i, \quad Q_{i+3} = Q_i \end{aligned}$$

satisfy commutation relations (1)-(6).

### 3 Supertraces on $H_{S_3}(0)$

**Definition 2.** The supertrace on an arbitrary associative superalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is complex-valued linear function  $str(\cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  which satisfies the condition

$$str(fg) = (-1)^{\pi(f)\pi(g)} str(gf)$$

for every  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  with definite parity.

To describe all supertraces on  $H_{S_3}(0)$  let us note that  $H_{S_3}(0)$  has the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  of inner automorphisms. This algebra is generated by the generators  $T^{\alpha\beta}$

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = (x^\alpha y^\beta + x^\beta y^\alpha) \quad (7)$$

which satisfy the usual commutation relations

$$[T^{\alpha\beta}, T^{\gamma\delta}] = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma} T^{\beta\delta} + \epsilon^{\alpha\delta} T^{\beta\gamma} + \epsilon^{\beta\gamma} T^{\alpha\delta} + \epsilon^{\beta\delta} T^{\alpha\gamma},$$

and act on generating elements  $x^\alpha$  and  $y^\alpha$  as follows:

$$[T^{\alpha\beta}, x^\gamma] = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma} x^\beta + \epsilon^{\beta\gamma} x^\alpha, \quad [T^{\alpha\beta}, y^\gamma] = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma} y^\beta + \epsilon^{\beta\gamma} y^\alpha$$

leaving the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$  invariant:  $[T^{\alpha\beta}, K_{ij}] = 0$ .

Clearly,  $H_{S_3}(0)$  is decomposed into the infinite direct sum of finitedimensional irreducible representations of this  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_s$  ( $s$  is a spin) be the direct sum of all  $2s + 1$ -dimensional irreducible representations in this decomposition. So

$$H_{S_3}(0) = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_{1/2} \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \dots, \quad \pi(\mathcal{A}_n) = 0, \quad \pi(\mathcal{A}_{n+1/2}) = 1.$$

Evidently,  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is a subalgebra of  $H_{S_3}(0)$ .

As every element of the subspace  $\mathcal{A}_s$  for  $s > 0$  is a linear combinations of the vectors of the form  $[f, T^{\alpha\beta}]$  where  $T^{\alpha\beta}$  is defined in (7), every supertrace vanishes on this subspace and has non-trivial values only on the associative subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset H_{S_3}(0)$  of all  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -singlets.

Let  $m = \frac{1}{2} \{x^\alpha, y_\alpha\}$ . In this formula the greek indices are lowered and raised with the help of the antisymmetric tensor  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ :  $a^\alpha = \sum_\beta \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta$ . Clearly,  $m$  is a singlet under the action of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  (7).

Evidently, the associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -singlets consists of the polynomials of  $m$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$ .

To describe the restrictions of all the supertraces on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , it is convenient to use the generating functions, which are computed in [5] for any values of  $\nu$ , and for  $\nu = 0$  take the following simple form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_i &\stackrel{def}{=} str(e^{\xi^m} L_i) = 0, \\ \mathcal{Q}_i &\stackrel{def}{=} str(e^{\xi^m} Q_i) = \frac{P_i}{\Delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_0 &= -2S_1 + \frac{S_2}{2} (e^{2\xi} + e^{-2\xi} - 2e^\xi - 2e^{-\xi}), \\
 P_+ &= \frac{2}{3}S_1 (-e^{2\xi} + 2e^{-\xi}) + \frac{S_2}{2} (e^{-2\xi} - 2e^\xi + 3), \\
 P_- &= \frac{2}{3}S_1 (-e^{-2\xi} + 2e^\xi) + \frac{S_2}{2} (e^{2\xi} - 2e^{-\xi} + 3) \\
 \Delta &= \exp(-3\xi)(\exp(3\xi) + 1)^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Here  $S_1, S_2$  are arbitrary parameters, specifying the supertrace in the two-dimensional space of supertraces:

$$S_1 = -2\text{str}(1) - \text{str}(K_{12}K_{23}), \quad S_2 = \frac{8}{3}\text{str}(1) - \frac{2}{3}\text{str}(K_{12}K_{23}).$$

## 4 The simplicity of $H_{S_3}(0)$ .

To prove the simplicity of  $H_{S_3}(0)$  it is sufficient to prove that elements  $Q_i$  belong to any nonzero ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ .

First of all let us note that the existence of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  algebra of inner derivations implies that each ideal of associative algebra  $H_{S_3}(0)$  is graded.

Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset H_{S_3}(0)$  be nonzero ideal.

Consider the ideals  $\mathcal{J}_i \subset \mathbb{C}[m]$  defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_i = \{f(m) \in \mathbb{C}[m] : f(m)Q_i \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

One can easily prove that for arbitrary element  $u \in \mathcal{I}$  there exist such finite sets of elements  $v_k^i, w_k^i \in H_{S_3}(0)$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3, k = 1, \dots, K$ ) that  $0 \neq \sum_{k=1}^K v_k^i u w_k^i \in \text{cal } \mathcal{J}_i$ . So the following proposition is valid:

**Proposition 1.**  $\mathcal{J}_i \neq \{0\}$ .

Let  $\varphi_i(m) = m^{n_i} + \alpha_{i,1}m^{n_i-1} + \dots$  generates the ideal  $\mathcal{J}_i$ .

From the relation  $L_i f(m) Q_i L_{-i} = f(-m) Q_{-i}$  the next proposition follows:

**Proposition 2.** The polynomials  $\varphi_i(m)$  generating the ideals  $\mathcal{J}_i$  satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_i &= n_{-i} \\
 \varphi_i(m) &= (-1)^{n_i} \varphi_{-i}(-m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** The associative superalgebra  $H_{S_3}(0)$  is simple.

We will prove that arbitrary nonzero ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset H_{S_3}(0)$  contains the elements  $Q_i$  and as a consequence  $\mathcal{I} \ni 1 = Q_0 + Q_{-1} + Q_1$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be nonzero ideal in  $H_{S_3}(0)$  and  $\varphi_i(m)$  generate the ideals  $\mathcal{J}_i$  described above. So  $\varphi_i(m)Q_i \in \mathcal{I}$  and hence  $(y^0)^3\varphi_i(m)Q_i(x^1)^3 \in \mathcal{I}$ . Consider the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -singlet part of this element which also belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  and can be computed easily:

$$((y^0)^3\varphi_i(m)Q_i(x^1)^3)_0 = \frac{1}{8}(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)\varphi_i(m+3)Q_i \in \mathcal{I}$$

So  $(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)\varphi_i(m+3) \in \mathcal{J}_i$  and  $(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)\varphi_i(m+3) = p_i(m)\varphi_i(m)$  with some polynomials  $p_i(m)$ . Further

$$(m+1)^2(m+2)^2(m+3)^2\varphi_i(m+3)\varphi_{-i}(m+3) = q_i(m)\varphi_i(m)\varphi_{-i}(m),$$

where  $q_i = p_i p_{-i}$ . Since  $\varphi_i(m)\varphi_{-i}(m)$  is even polynomial, the real part of the rightest root of  $\varphi_i(m)\varphi_{-i}(m)$  is non negative, and so this rightest root is not a root of the polynomial  $(m+1)^2(m+2)^2(m+3)^2\varphi_i(m+3)\varphi_{-i}(m+3)$ . So the polynomial  $\varphi_i(m)\varphi_{-i}(m)$  has no complex roots and hence  $\varphi_i(m) = 1$ .

In such a way,  $Q_i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $1 = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ .

## 5 Lie superalgebra $H_{S_3}(0)^L$

Now let us consider Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{A} = H_{S_3}(0)^L$  which consists of elements of associative algebra  $H_{S_3}(0)$  with operation  $[f, g] = fg - (-1)^{\pi(f)\pi(g)}gf$ . This Lie superalgebra has an ideal  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = [\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}]$  with the following evident properties

- (i)  $\text{codim } \mathfrak{A}_1 = 2$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{A}_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{A}_1 \oplus \dots \subset \mathfrak{A}_1$ .

Let  $Z$  be the center of  $H_{S_3}(0)$ . Obviously,  $Z \subset \mathfrak{A}_0$  and so every element of  $Z$  is a polynomial of  $m$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$ .

**Proposition 3.**  $Z = \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $f(m) \in Z$ . As  $m$  is even then  $f$  is even element also. As  $mL_i = -L_im$ , the relation  $[f, m] = 0$  gives that  $f(m) = \sum f_i(m)Q_i$ . Due to equalities  $[(x^0)^3, Q_i] = 0$  and commutation relations one has  $[(x^0)^3, f(m)] = (x^0)^3(f(m) - f(m-3))$  and as a consequence  $f(m) = f(m-3)$ . So  $f(m)$  does not depend on  $m$ ,  $f(m) \in \mathbb{C}[S_3]$ . To finish the proof it is sufficient to commute  $f$  with  $x^0$  or  $y^0$ .

**Proposition 4.**  $Z \cap \mathfrak{A}_1 = \{0\}$ .

As there exists such supertrace that  $\text{str}(1) \neq 0$  and  $Z = \mathbb{C}$ , it follows from  $\text{str}(Z \cap \mathfrak{A}_1) = 0$  that  $Z \cap \mathfrak{A}_1 = \{0\}$ .

**Proposition 5.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{A}_1$  be an ideal and  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{even}} = \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{odd}} = \{0\}$ .

Let  $r \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{odd}}$ . Due to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  invariance one can choose  $r$  in the form

$$r = \sum_{i=0,1,2} \sum_{n=0}^{2s} ((x^0)^n (y^0)^{2s-n} f_{ni}(m) Q_i + (x^0)^n (y^0)^{2s-n} g_{ni}(m) L_i)$$

with odd value of  $2s$ . As  $(x^0)^3 \in \mathcal{A}_{3/2} \subset \mathfrak{A}_1$  then  $[(x^0)^3, r] \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{even}}$  and  $\{(x^0)^3, r\} = 0$ . So the following relations take place:

$$\sum_{i=0,1,2} \sum_{n=0}^{2s} (x^0)^{n+3} (y^0)^{2s-n} (f_{ni}(m) + f_{ni}(m+3)) Q_i = 0$$

and so  $f_{ni} = 0$ . Further, it follows from

$$0 = \{(x^0)^3, r\} \simeq \sum_{i=0,1,2} \sum_{n=0}^{2s} ((x^0)^3 + (y^0)^3) (x^0)^n (y^0)^{2s-n} g_{ni}(m) L_i$$

that  $g_{ni}(m) = 0$  also. Here the sign  $\simeq$  is used to denote the equality up to polynomials of lesser degrees.

**Theorem 2** *Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  is simple.*

This Theorem follows from the next two general theorems proven by S.Montgomery in [7]:

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be associative simple superalgebra and  $\mathcal{I} \subset [\mathcal{A}^L, \mathcal{A}^L]$  be a graded ideal of  $[\mathcal{A}^L, \mathcal{A}^L]$  such that  $\mathcal{I} \neq [\mathcal{A}^L, \mathcal{A}^L]$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_3 = \{0\}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_1 = [\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}]$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2 = [\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_1]$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_3 = [\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_2]$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be associative simple superalgebra and  $\mathcal{I} \subset [\mathcal{A}^L, \mathcal{A}^L]$  be a graded ideal of  $[\mathcal{A}^L, \mathcal{A}^L]$  such that  $[\mathcal{I}_{\text{even}}, \mathcal{I}] \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$  where  $Z(\mathcal{A})$  is the center of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{even}} \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$ .*

Indeed, let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{A}_1$  be an ideal such that  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathfrak{A}_1$ . Due to Theorem 3 one can consider that  $[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}] = \{0\}$ . Then it follows from Theorem 4 and Proposition 4 that  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{even}} = \{0\}$ . Further, Proposition 5 gives that  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{odd}} = \{0\}$ , and so  $\mathcal{I} = \{0\}$ .

**Theorem 5** *Simple Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  has at least 2 independent bilinear invariant forms.*

Two-dimensional space of supertraces  $\text{str}(\cdot)$  on  $H_{S_3}(0)$  generates some space of invariant bilinear forms  $B(u, v) = \text{str}(uv)$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . This space is also 2-dimensional. Indeed, let some supertrace  $\text{str}_0(\cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  leads to bilinear form  $B_0$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  which is equal to zero identically. The elements  $x^0, y^1, x^0 Q_1$  and  $y^1 Q_1$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}_{1/2} \subset \mathfrak{A}_1$ . So,  $0 = B_0(x^0, y^1) - B_0(y^1, x^0) = \text{str}_0([x^0, y^1]) = \text{str}_0(1)$  and  $0 = B_0(x^0, y^1 Q_1) - B_0(y^1, x^0 Q_1) = \text{str}_0([x^0, y^1] Q_1) = \text{str}_0(Q_1)$ . It follows from (8) that  $\text{str}_0(1) = -\frac{1}{6}(S_1 - \frac{3}{2}S_2)$  and  $\text{str}_0(Q_1) = \frac{1}{6}(S_1 + \frac{3}{2}S_2)$ . So  $S_1 = S_2 = 0$  and  $\text{str}_0$  is equal to zero identically.

## Acknowledgments

The author is very grateful to M. Vasiliev and to D. Leites for useful discussions and to organizers of the conference "Lie and Jordan Algebras, their Representations and Applications-II" for hospitality. This work was supported by the RFBR grant No. 02-02-17067, by the RFBR grant for Leading Scientific Schools No. 1578-2003-2 and partially by Brazilian foundation FAPESP.

## References

- [1] I.Shchepochkina, hep-th/9702122.
- [2] M.A.Olshanetsky and A.M.Perelomov, Phys. Rep., **94** (1983) 313.
- [3] S.E.Konstein, math-ph/9904032.
- [4] S.E.Konstein and M.A.Vasiliev, J. Math. Phys., **37** (1996) 2872.
- [5] S.E.Konstein, Theor. Math. Phys., **116** (1998) 122; hep-th/9803213.
- [6] S.E.Konstein, math-ph/0112063.
- [7] S.Montgomery, J. of Algebra, **195** (1997) 558.

### **S.E.Konstein**

I.E.Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics  
Lebedev Physical Institute  
119991, Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow, Russia  
**Russia**  
e-mail: konstein@lpi.ru